arXiv:1505.05209v1 [math.AC] 19 May 2015

DEGREE BOUNDS FOR LOCAL COHOMOLOGY

ANDREW R. KUSTIN, CLAUDIA POLINI, AND BERND ULRICH

ABSTRACT. Let R be a non-negatively graded Cohen-Macaulay ring with R_0 a Cohen-Macaulay factor ring of a local Gorenstein ring. Let $d = \dim R$, $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal homogeneous ideal of R, and M be a finitely generated graded R-module.

It has long been known how to read information about the socle degrees of the local cohomology module $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ from the twists in position d in a resolution of M by free R-modules. It has also long been known how to use local cohomology to read valuable information from complexes which approximate resolutions in the sense that they have positive homology of small Krull dimension. The present paper reads information about the maximal generator degree (rather than the socle degree) of $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ from the twists in position $d-1$ (rather than position d) in an approximate resolution of M.

We apply the local cohomology results to draw conclusions about the maximum generator degree of the second symbolic power of the prime ideal defining a monomial curve and the second symbolic power of the ideal defining a finite set of points in projective space.

There is an application to general hyperplane sections of subschemes of projective space over an infinite field k. Let V be the subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}_k$ defined by the homogeneous ideal I in $R = k[x_1,\dots,x_d]$ and H be a linear subspace of $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}_k$ defined by c general linear forms in R, for some c with $1 \le c \le \dim V$. The local cohomology techniques lead to an upper bound for the maximal generator degree of the saturated ideal defining $V \cap H$ in terms of information that can be read from the minimal homogeneous resolution of R/I.

There is an application of the local cohomology techniques to partial Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity. There is a further application to the ideals generated by the lower order Pfaffians of an alternating matrix.

One additional application is to the study of blow-up algebras. The techniques of the present paper are the main tool used in [13] to identify the defining equations, or bounds on the degrees of the defining equations, for Rees algebras of ideals.

1. INTRODUCTION.

For the time being, let R be a non-negatively graded polynomial ring in d variables over a field and M be a finitely generated graded R-module of depth zero. It is well known how to

(1.0.1) read the socle degrees of M from the twists at the end of
a minimal homogeneous finite free resolution of M.

Of course, the socle of M is the socle of the local cohomology module $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$, where $\mathfrak{m}$ is the maximal homogeneous ideal of R. In this paper we find bounds on the degrees of interesting elements

---

Date: May 21, 2015.

AMS 2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13D45, 13C40, 14A10, 13D10, 13A30.

The first author was partially supported by the Simons Foundation. The second and third authors were partially supported by the NSF.

Keywords: a-invariant, almost alternating matrix, blowup algebra, Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, deformation, hyperplane sections, Gorenstein ideal, local cohomology, local duality, monomial curves, Pfaffians, postulation number, residual intersection, socle.

of $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ in terms of information about the ring R and information that can be read from a homogeneous complex of finitely generated R-modules $C_\bullet : \cdots \to C_2 \to C_1 \to C_0 \to 0$ with $\mathrm{H}_0(C_\bullet) = M$. The ring R need not be a polynomial ring, the complex $C_\bullet$ need not be finite, need not be acyclic, and need not consist of free modules, and the parameter i need not be zero. Instead, we impose hypotheses on the Krull dimension of $\mathrm{H}_j(C_\bullet)$ and the depth of C_j in order make various local cohomology modules $\mathrm{H}^\ell_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{H}_j(C_\bullet))$ and $\mathrm{H}^\ell_{\mathfrak{m}}(C_j)$ vanish.

Our most general algebraic statement is Proposition 5.1. Numerical applications of Proposition 5.1 appear as Corollaries 5.3, 5.5, and 5.7. In Corollary 5.3 we bound the maximum generator degree of $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ in terms of the maximum generator degree of C_j for appropriately related i and j. In particular, in Corollary 5.5, we bound the maximum generator degree of $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ in terms of the maximum generator degree of C_{d-1}. The hypotheses of Corollary 5.5 hold if $C_\bullet$ is a resolution of M; consequently, this result is completely analogous to (1.0.1) where $\max\{r \mid [\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)]_r \neq 0\}$ is read from the generator degrees of C_d. Corollary 5.7 is an intriguing generalization of the well-known fact that a maximal Cohen-Macaulay module over a polynomial ring is free.

Corollary 5.5 is precisely the result that we use in [13] to identify the torsion submodule of the symmetric modules $\mathrm{Sym}_\ell(I)$ where I is a grade three Gorenstein ideal in an even-dimensional polynomial ring. A more elementary result (Lemma 3.2) may be used to identify the torsion submodule of $\mathrm{Sym}_\ell(I)$ when I is a grade three Gorenstein ideal in an odd-dimensional polynomial ring. It turns out that Lemma 3.2 may be derived in full generality from the techniques involved in the proof of Proposition 5.1; see Remark 4.5. We devote Section 3 to the statement of Lemma 3.2 and the deduction of a few numerical consequences. We view this lemma as a model for the main results in the present paper.

Section 4 is rather audacious. We relate the cohomology of $\mathrm{Hom}(C_\bullet, N)$ to $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet(M,N)$, where $C_\bullet$ is a complex with $\mathrm{H}_0(C_\bullet) = M$ and M and N are arbitrary modules. In spite of the a priori lack of hypotheses we obtain a significant, multi-faceted, result which is the basis for Section 5; and hence the rest of the paper.

In Section 6, we apply the local cohomology techniques of Section 5 to draw conclusions about geometric situations. Corollary 6.2 shows that if $\mathfrak{p}$ is the prime ideal which defines the monomial curve associated to a numerical semigroup H, then the maximal generator degree of the second symbolic power of $\mathfrak{p}$ satisfies

$$b_0(\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}) \le \max\{b_0(\mathfrak{p}) + \text{ the maximal generator of } H + \text{ the Frobenius number of } H, 2b_0(\mathfrak{p})\}.$$

(The function b_0 represents "maximum generator degree", see 2.2.) Corollary 6.4 shows that if I is the ideal which defines a finite set of points in projective space, then

$$b_0(I^{(2)}) \le b_0(I) + p(P/I) + 2,$$

where $p(P/I)$ is the postulation number of the homogeneous coordinate ring of the set of points. Corollary 6.7 is about general hyperplane sections of subschemes of projective space. Let V be the subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}_k$ defined by the homogeneous ideal I in $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ and H be a linear subspace of $\mathbb{P}_k^{d-1}$ defined by c general linear forms in $k[x_1,\dots,x_d]$, for some c with $1 \le c \le \dim V$. We produce an upper bound for the maximal generator degree of the saturated ideal defining the subscheme $V \cap H$ of H, in terms of information that can be read from the minimal homogeneous resolution of R/I. If V is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay, then this information can be obtained by way of the well-known technique of Artinian reduction. However, if V is not arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay, then the bounds that we obtain appear to be new. In particular, we have highlighted the case when $V \cap H$ is a zero dimensional scheme.

Section 8 contains applications of our local cohomology techniques to ideals generated by lower order Pfaffians and to residual intersections of grade three Gorenstein ideals. Let k be an infinite field, d and n be integers with $3 \le d \le n$ and n odd, R be the standard graded polynomial ring $k[x_1,\dots,x_d]$, φ be an $n \times n$ alternating matrix with homogeneous linear entries from R. Let I denote the ideal $\operatorname{Pf}_{n-1}(\varphi)$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ denote the maximal homogeneous ideal of R. Assume that I has height three and satisfies $\mathcal{F}_0$ on the punctured spectrum of R. (See Remark 8.2 for a definition.) Then the following statements hold.

(a) The ideal $\operatorname{Pf}_t(\varphi)$ is equal to $\mathfrak{m}^{t/2}$ for all even integers t with $2 \le t \le n-d+1$.
(b) The sum of all homogeneous d-residual intersections of I is $\mathfrak{m}^{\lceil \frac{n-d}{2} \rceil}$.

In part (b) if $d = 3$, then the sum of all homogeneous links of I is equal to $\mathfrak{m}^{\frac{n-3}{2}}$; indeed, the links of the ideal I are precisely its 3-residual intersections. Sums of all links of a given ideal have been used before to study the entire linkage class of the ideal; see for instance [17, 19].

2. CONVENTIONS, NOTATION, AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS.

2.1. If M and N are modules over the ring R, then N is a *subquotient* of M if N is isomorphic to a submodule of a homomorphic image of M; that is, if there exists an R-module P with

$$\begin{tikzcd} & M \arrow[d, two heads] \\ N \arrow[r, hook] & P. \end{tikzcd}$$

Of course, N is also a subquotient of M if N is isomorphic to a homomorphic image of a submodule of M. The property of being a subquotient is transitive in the sense that if M_1 is a subquotient of M_2 and M_2 is a subquotient of M_3, then M_1 is a subquotient of M_3.

2.2. We collect names for some of the numbers associated to a graded module. Let R be a graded Noetherian ring and M be a graded R-module. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{topdeg} M &= \inf\{\tau \mid M_j = 0 \text{ for all } j \text{ with } \tau < j\}, \\ \operatorname{indeg} M &= \sup\{\tau \mid M_j = 0 \text{ for all } j \text{ with } j < \tau\}, \text{ and} \\ b_0(M) &= \inf\left\{\tau \,\middle|\, R\left(\textstyle\bigoplus_{j \le \tau} M_j\right) = M\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If R is non-negatively graded, R_0 is local, $\mathfrak{m}$ is the maximal homogeneous ideal of R, and i is a non-negative integer, then also define

$$\begin{aligned} a_i(M) &= \operatorname{topdeg} \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \text{ and} \\ b_i(M) &= \operatorname{topdeg} \operatorname{Tor}^R_i(M, R/\mathfrak{m}). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that both definitions of the maximal generator degree $b_0(M)$ give the same value. The expressions "topdeg", "indeg", and "b_i" are read "top degree", "initial degree", and "maximal i-th shift in a minimal homogeneous resolution" respectively. If M is the zero module, then

$$\operatorname{topdeg}(M) = b_0(M) = -\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{indeg} M = +\infty.$$

We often use the data of 2.3.

2.3. Let R be a non-negatively graded Noetherian ring with R_0 local and let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal homogeneous ideal of R.

2.4. Take $R, R_0, \mathfrak{m}$ as described in 2.3. For a minimal homogeneous generating set $y_1, \dots, y_n$ of $\mathfrak{m}$, with $\deg y_1 \geq \deg y_2 \geq \cdots \geq \deg y_n$, let $\Theta_t = \sum_{j=1}^t \deg y_j$.

2.5. Take $R, R_0, \mathfrak{m}$ as described in 2.3. If M is a graded R-module, then recall that the socle of M is the graded R-module

$$0 :_M \mathfrak{m} = \{x \in M \mid \mathfrak{m} \cdot x = 0\} = \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, M).$$

2.6. Take $R, R_0, \mathfrak{m}$ as described in 2.3. Assume further that R is Cohen-Macaulay and R_0 is a Cohen-Macaulay factor ring of a local Gorenstein ring. Let $S = R_0[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a graded polynomial ring which maps homogeneously onto R. If g is the codimension of R as an S-module, then the graded canonical module of R is

$$\omega_R = \operatorname{Ext}^g_S(R, \omega_{R_0} \otimes_{R_0} S)(-\textstyle\sum \deg x_i),$$

where ω_{R_0} is the canonical module of R_0

2.7. Take $R, R_0, \mathfrak{m}$ as described in 2.3 with $\dim R = d$. Recall the numerical functions of 2.2. The a-invariant of R is

$$a(R) = a_d(R).$$

Furthermore, if ω_R is the graded canonical module of R (see 2.6), then

$$a(R) = -\operatorname{indeg} \omega_R.$$

2.8. The graded ring $R = \bigoplus\limits_{i \geq 0} R_i$ is a *standard graded R_0-algebra* if R is generated as an R_0-algebra by R_1 and R_1 is finitely generated as an R_0-module.

2.9. Let R be a standard graded polynomial ring over a field k, $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal homogeneous ideal of R, and M be a finitely generated graded R-module. Recall the numerical functions of 2.2. The Castelnuovo-Mumford *regularity* of M is

$$\operatorname{reg} M = \max\{a_i(M) + i\} = \max\{b_i(M) - i\}.$$

2.10. Let R be a Noetherian ring, I be a proper ideal of R, and M be a finitely generated R-module. The *grade* of I is the length of a maximal regular sequence on R which is contained in I. (If R is Cohen-Macaulay, then the grade of I is equal to the height of I.) The R-module M is called *perfect* if the grade of the annihilator of M (denoted $\operatorname{ann} M$) is equal to the projective dimension of M. (The inequality $\operatorname{grade}(\operatorname{ann} M) \le \operatorname{pd}_R M$ holds automatically if $M \neq 0$.) The ideal I in R is called a *perfect ideal* if R/I is a perfect R-module. A perfect ideal I of grade g is a *Gorenstein ideal* if $\operatorname{Ext}^g_R(R/I,R)$ is a cyclic R-module.

2.11. If φ is a matrix, then φ^{t} is the transpose of φ. If φ is a matrix (or a homomorphism of free R-modules), then $I_r(\varphi)$ is the ideal generated by the $r \times r$ minors of φ (or any matrix representation of φ). If φ is an alternating matrix, then $\operatorname{Pf}_r(\varphi)$ denotes the ideal generated by the the Pfaffians of principal $r \times r$ alternating submatrices of φ.

2.12. Let q be an integer and R be a ring. A complex of finitely generated free R-modules

$$0 \to C_\ell \to C_{\ell-1} \to \cdots \to C_0 \to 0$$

is called *q-linear* if $C_i = R(-q-i)^{\beta_i}$, for some β_i, for all i with $0 \le i \le \ell$.

3. A MODEL.

The present paper generalizes Lemma 3.2, which is a well-known and very useful trick involving local cohomology. The idea goes back to Gruson, Lazarsfeld, and Peskine [7, 1.6], at least. The statement is general and precise. Furthermore, the proof is short and not technical. We apply Lemma 3.2 in [13], where we compute the multiplicity of the special fiber ring of linearly presented grade three Gorenstein ideals. We explain in Remark 4.5 why Lemma 3.2 follows from the techniques of the present paper.

Data 3.1. Let R be a non-negatively graded algebra over a local ring R_0 with $\dim R = d$, $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal homogeneous ideal of R, M be a graded R-module, and

$$C_\bullet : \qquad \ldots \longrightarrow C_1 \longrightarrow C_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

be a homogeneous complex of finitely generated graded R-modules with $\mathrm{H}_0(C_\bullet) = M$.

Recall the numerical functions of 2.2.

Lemma 3.2. *Adopt Data* 3.1. *Fix an integer i with* $0 \le i \le d$. *Assume that*

(a) $i + j + 1 \le \operatorname{depth} C_j$, *for all j with* $0 \le j \le d - i - 1$, *and*
(b) $\dim \mathrm{H}_j(C_\bullet) \le j + i$, *for all j with* $1 \le j$.

Then

(1) $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ *is a graded subquotient of* $\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(C_{d-i})$ *and*
(2) $a_i(M) \le b_0(C_{d-i}) + a(R)$.

Remark 3.3. Typically, one applies Lemma 3.2 when the modules C_j are maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules (for example, free modules over a Cohen-Macaulay ring), because, in this case, hypothesis (a) about $\operatorname{depth} C_j$ is automatically satisfied. Similarly, if

$$\mathrm{H}_\ell(C_\bullet)_\mathfrak{p} = 0 \text{ for all } \ell \text{ and } \mathfrak{p} \text{ with } 1 \leq \ell \leq d-i-1,\ \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R),\ \text{and } i+2 \leq \dim R/\mathfrak{p}, \tag{3.3.1}$$

then hypothesis (b) is also satisfied.

Proof. (1) Write $B_\bullet$, $Z_\bullet$, $\mathrm{H}_\bullet$ for boundaries, cycles, homology of $C_\bullet$, respectively; and consider the two homogeneous exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow B_0 \longrightarrow C_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.3.2}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_1 \longrightarrow C_1/B_1 \longrightarrow B_0 \longrightarrow 0\,. \tag{3.3.3}$$

We use descending induction on i beginning with $i = d$. The short exact sequence (3.3.2) gives rise to the surjection

$$\mathrm{H}^d_\mathfrak{m}(C_0) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{H}^d_\mathfrak{m}(M)$$

since $\mathrm{H}^{d+1}_\mathfrak{m}(B_0) = 0$.

Now assume that $i < d$. The short exact sequence (3.3.2) gives rise to

$$\mathrm{H}^i_\mathfrak{m}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{i+1}_\mathfrak{m}(B_0)$$

because $i+1 \leq \operatorname{depth} C_0$, and (3.3.3) yields

$$\mathrm{H}^{i+1}_\mathfrak{m}(C_1/B_1) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{i+1}_\mathfrak{m}(B_0)$$

since $\dim \mathrm{H}_1 \leq i+1$. Thus, $\mathrm{H}^i_\mathfrak{m}(M)$ is a graded subquotient of $\mathrm{H}^{i+1}_\mathfrak{m}(C_1/B_1)$. We apply the induction hypothesis to the module C_1/B_1 and notice that a subquotient of a subquotient is a subquotient.

(2) Apply (1) to see that

$$a_i(M) \leq a_d(C_{d-i}).$$

Let F be a finitely generated graded free R-module which maps surjectively onto C_{d-i} so that $b_0(F) = b_0(C_{d-i})$. The long exact sequence of local cohomology gives a surjection

$$\mathrm{H}^d_\mathfrak{m}(F) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{H}^d_\mathfrak{m}(C_{d-i})\ ,$$

which shows that

$$a_d(C_{d-i}) \leq a_d(F) = b_0(F) + a(R) = b_0(C_{d-i}) + a(R).$$

□

Corollary 3.4. *Adopt the hypotheses of Lemma* 3.2, *with* $i = 0$. *Then the following statements hold.*

(a) *If* $C_d = 0$, *then* $\mathrm{H}^0_\mathfrak{m}(M) = 0$.

(b) *If* $C_d \neq 0$, *then*

$$[\mathrm{H}^0_\mathfrak{m}(M)]_\ell = 0 \quad \text{for all } \ell \text{ with} \quad b_0(C_d) + a(R) < \ell.$$

□

Reminder. Keep in mind that the hypotheses of Lemma 3.2 are satisfied if the conditions of Remark 3.3 are in effect.

Corollary 3.5. *Adopt the hypotheses of Lemma* 3.2*, with* $i=0$*. Assume further that* $R=k[x_1,\dots,x_d]$ *is a standard graded polynomial ring and that the subcomplex*

$$C_d \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow C_1 \longrightarrow C_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

of $C_\bullet$ *is a* q*-linear complex of free* R*-modules, for some integer* q (*that is,* $C_j \simeq R(-q-j)^{b_j}$*, for some* b_j*, for* $0 \le j \le d$)*. Then* $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ *is concentrated in degree* q*; that is,* $[\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)]_\ell = 0$ *for all* ℓ *with* $\ell \neq q$*.*

Reminder. Keep in mind that the hypotheses of Lemma 3.2 are satisfied if the conditions of Remark 3.3 are in effect.

Proof. Apply Corollary 3.4. We may assume that $C_d \neq 0$ and we see that $[\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)]_\ell = 0$ for ℓ with $b_0(C_d)+a(R) < \ell$. But

$$b_0(C_d)+a(R) = (q+d)-d = q;$$

so $[\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)]_\ell = 0$ for $q<\ell$. On the other hand, $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is a graded submodule of M and $[M]_\ell = 0$ for all ℓ with $\ell < q$. ☐

4. AN ELEMENTARY CALCULATION.

Our most general algebraic statement is Proposition 5.1. Lemma 4.2 is the first step in the proof of Proposition 5.1. In Lemma 4.2 we relate the cohomology of $\mathrm{Hom}(C_\bullet,N)$ to $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet(M,N)$, where $C_\bullet$ is a complex with $\mathrm{H}_0(C_\bullet)=M$ and N is an arbitrary module.

Setup 4.1. Let R be a graded ring, M and N be graded R-modules, and

$$C_\bullet: \quad \dots \longrightarrow C_1 \longrightarrow C_0 \to 0$$

be a homogeneous complex of graded R-modules with $\mathrm{H}_0(C_\bullet)=M$. Fix a positive integer i. We consider four hypotheses which can be imposed on the above data:

- The data satisfies $H_{\mathrm{top,i}}$ if $\mathrm{Ext}^{i-j}(\mathrm{H}_j(C_\bullet),N)=0$ for all integers j with $1 \le j \le i$.
- The data satisfies $H_{\mathrm{bot,i}}$ if $\mathrm{Ext}^{i-j-1}(\mathrm{H}_j(C_\bullet),N)=0$ for all integers j with $1 \le j \le i-1$.
- The data satisfies $C_{\mathrm{top,i}}$ if $\mathrm{Ext}^{i-j}(C_j,N)=0$ for all integers j with $0 \le j \le i-1$.
- The data satisfies $C_{\mathrm{bot,i}}$ if $\mathrm{Ext}^{i-j-1}(C_j,N)=0$ for all integers j with $0 \le j \le i-2$.

Lemma 4.2. *In the setup of* 4.1*, the following statements hold.*

(a) *If the hypotheses* $H_{\mathrm{top,i}}$*,* $H_{\mathrm{bot,i}}$*,* $C_{\mathrm{top,i}}$*, and* $C_{\mathrm{bot,i}}$ *all are in effect, then there is a natural homogeneous isomorphism* $\mathrm{H}^i(\mathrm{Hom}(C_\bullet,N)) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^i(M,N)$.

(b) *If the hypotheses* $H_{\mathrm{top,i}}$*,* $C_{\mathrm{top,i}}$*, and* $C_{\mathrm{bot,i}}$ *are in effect, then there is a natural homogeneous surjection*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i(M,N) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{H}^i(\mathrm{Hom}(C_\bullet,N))\ .$$

(c) *If the hypotheses* $H_{\mathrm{top,i}}$, $H_{\mathrm{bot,i}}$, *and* $C_{\mathrm{top,i}}$ *are in effect, then there is a natural homogeneous surjection*

$$\mathrm{H}^i(\mathrm{Hom}(C_\bullet,N)) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^i(M,N)\ .$$

(d) *If the hypotheses* $H_{\mathrm{top,i}}$, $H_{\mathrm{bot,i}}$, *and* $C_{\mathrm{bot,i}}$ *are in effect, then there is a natural homogeneous injection*

$$\mathrm{H}^i(\mathrm{Hom}(C_\bullet,N)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^i(M,N)\ .$$

(e) *If the hypotheses* $H_{\mathrm{bot,i}}$, $C_{\mathrm{top,i}}$, *and* $C_{\mathrm{bot,i}}$ *are in effect, then there is a natural homogeneous injection*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i(M,N) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^i(\mathrm{Hom}(C_\bullet,N))\ .$$

(f) *If the hypotheses* $H_{\mathrm{top,i}}$ *and* $C_{\mathrm{bot,i}}$ *are in effect, then* $\mathrm{H}^i(\mathrm{Hom}(C_\bullet,N))$ *is a natural homogeneous subquotient of* $\mathrm{Ext}^i(M,N)$.

(g) *If the hypotheses* $H_{\mathrm{bot,i}}$ *and* $C_{\mathrm{top,i}}$ *are in effect, then* $\mathrm{Ext}^i(M,N)$ *is a natural homogeneous subquotient of* $\mathrm{H}^i(\mathrm{Hom}(C_\bullet,N))$.

Proof. Write $B_\bullet$, $Z_\bullet$, $\mathrm{H}_\bullet$ for boundaries, cycles, homology of $C_\bullet$, respectively. It is appropriate to define B_{-1} to be M. Consider the two homogeneous exact sequences

(4.2.1) $\quad 0 \longrightarrow B_j \longrightarrow Z_j \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_j \longrightarrow 0, \qquad$ for $0 \le j$, and

(4.2.2) $\quad 0 \longrightarrow Z_j \longrightarrow C_j \longrightarrow B_{j-1} \longrightarrow 0, \qquad$ for $1 \le j$.

Notice that (4.2.1) with $j = 0$ is the same as

$$0 \to B_0 \to C_0 \to M \to 0.$$

Let $(-)^\vee$ denote the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-,N) = \mathrm{Hom}(-,N)$. Apply the functor $(-)^\vee$ to (4.2.1) and (4.2.2) to obtain the following homogeneous long exact sequences of cohomology

(4.2.3) $\quad \cdots \to \mathrm{Ext}^\ell(\mathrm{H}_j,N) \to \mathrm{Ext}^\ell(Z_j,N) \to \mathrm{Ext}^\ell(B_j,N) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{\ell+1}(\mathrm{H}_j,N) \to \dots, \qquad$ for $0 \le j$,

(4.2.4) $\quad \cdots \to \mathrm{Ext}^\ell(C_j,N) \to \mathrm{Ext}^\ell(Z_j,N) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{\ell+1}(B_{j-1},N) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{\ell+1}(C_j,N) \to \dots, \qquad$ for $1 \le j$.

When $2 \le i$, it is helpful to combine the exact sequences

$$0 \to B_{i-1}^\vee \to C_i^\vee \to Z_i^\vee \quad \text{and} \quad C_{i-1}^\vee \to Z_{i-1}^\vee \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(B_{i-2},N),$$

which are part of (4.2.4), with $j = i$ and $j = i-1$, respectively, into the picture

(4.2.5)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_{i-1}^\vee \arrow[rrr, "\partial_i^\vee"] \arrow[dr] & & & C_i^\vee \arrow[rrr, "\partial_{i+1}^\vee"] \arrow[dr] & & & C_{i+1}^\vee \\
& Z_{i-1}^\vee \arrow[r] \arrow[dr] & B_{i-1}^\vee \arrow[ur, hook] & & Z_i^\vee \arrow[r] & B_i^\vee \arrow[ur, hook] & \\
& & \mathrm{Ext}^1(B_{i-2},N). & & & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

When $i=1$, one combines the exact sequences

$$0 \to B_0^\vee \to C_1^\vee \to Z_1^\vee \quad \text{and} \quad C_0^\vee \to B_0^\vee \to \operatorname{Ext}^1(M,N),$$

into the picture

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_0^\vee \arrow[rr, "\partial_1^\vee"] \arrow[dr] & & C_1^\vee \arrow[rrr, "\partial_2^\vee"] \arrow[dr] & & & C_2^\vee \\
& B_0^\vee \arrow[ur, hook] \arrow[dr] & & Z_1^\vee \arrow[r] & B_1^\vee \arrow[ur, hook] & \\
& & \operatorname{Ext}^1(M,N). & & &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.2.6}$$

The hypothesis $H_{\text{top,i}}$ guarantees the following information about the natural maps from the long exact sequence (4.2.3):

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{i-j-1}(Z_j,N) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{i-j-1}(B_j,N) \quad \text{is a surjection,} \quad \text{for } 1 \le j \le i-1, \text{ and} \tag{4.2.7}$$

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{i-j}(Z_j,N) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{i-j}(B_j,N) \quad \text{is an injection,} \quad \text{for } 1 \le j \le i. \tag{4.2.8}$$

In particular, for $j=i$, (4.2.8) yields $Z_i^\vee \hookrightarrow B_i^\vee$. Now (4.2.5) and (4.2.6) imply

$$\ker \partial_{i+1}^\vee = \ker(C_i^\vee \to Z_i^\vee) = \operatorname{im}(B_{i-1}^\vee \hookrightarrow C_i^\vee) \ . \tag{4.2.9}$$

If $2 \le i$, then for $j = i-1$, (4.2.7) yields:

$$Z_{i-1}^\vee \twoheadrightarrow B_{i-1}^\vee \quad \overset{(4.2.5)}{\Longrightarrow} \quad \operatorname{coker}(C_{i-1}^\vee \to Z_{i-1}^\vee) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(C_{i-1}^\vee \to B_{i-1}^\vee) \simeq \mathrm{H}^i(C_\bullet^\vee) \ ,$$

where the last isomorphism follows from (4.2.9). Thus,

$$H_{\text{top,i}} \implies \text{the natural map } \operatorname{coker}(C_{i-1}^\vee \to Z_{i-1}^\vee) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{H}^i(C_\bullet^\vee) \text{ is a surjection for } 2 \le i. \tag{4.2.10}$$

Similarly, when $i=1$, (4.2.6) shows that there always is a natural injection

$$\operatorname{coker}(C_0^\vee \to B_0^\vee) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(C_\bullet^\vee) \ ; \tag{4.2.11}$$

furthermore, when $H_{\text{top},1}$ is in effect, then this injection is an isomorphism by (4.2.9).

The hypothesis $H_{\text{bot,i}}$ guarantees the following information about the natural maps from the long exact sequence (4.2.3):

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{i-j-1}(Z_j,N) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{i-j-1}(B_j,N) \quad \text{is an injection,} \quad \text{for } 1 \le j \le i-1, \text{ and} \tag{4.2.12}$$

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{i-j-2}(Z_j,N) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{i-j-2}(B_j,N) \quad \text{is a surjection,} \quad \text{for } 1 \le j \le i-2. \tag{4.2.13}$$

In particular, if $2 \le i$ and $j = i-1$, then (4.2.12) yields $Z_{i-1}^\vee \hookrightarrow B_{i-1}^\vee$ which in turn implies

$$\operatorname{coker}(C_{i-1}^\vee \to Z_{i-1}^\vee) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(C_{i-1}^\vee \to B_{i-1}^\vee) \xrightarrow[\text{nat}]{\simeq} \frac{B_{i-1}^\vee}{\operatorname{im}\partial_i^\vee} \xhookrightarrow[(4.2.5)]{\text{always}} \frac{\ker\partial_{i+1}^\vee}{\operatorname{im}\partial_i^\vee} = \mathrm{H}^i(C_\bullet^\vee \ );$$

hence,

$$H_{\text{bot,i}} \implies \operatorname{coker}(C_{i-1}^\vee \to Z_{i-1}^\vee) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^i(C_\bullet^\vee \ ), \quad \text{for } 2 \le i. \tag{4.2.14}$$

If $1 \le i$, then the hypothesis $C_{\text{top,i}}$ guarantees the following information about the natural maps from the long exact sequence (4.2.4), when $1 \le j$, and from the long exact sequence (4.2.3), when $j = 0$. (Keep in mind that $C_0 = Z_0$ and $\mathrm{H}_0 = M$).

$$\text{(4.2.15)} \qquad \operatorname{Ext}^{i-1}(B_0,N) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^i(M,N) \qquad \text{is a surjection,}$$

$$\text{(4.2.16)} \qquad \operatorname{Ext}^{i-j-1}(Z_j,N) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{i-j}(B_{j-1},N) \qquad \text{is a surjection,} \qquad \text{for } 1 \le j \le i-1.$$

In particular, if $2 \le i$, then (4.2.16), with $j = i-1$, is $Z_{i-1}^\vee \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1(B_{i-2},N)$. Combine this fact with (4.2.5) to see that

$$\text{(4.2.17)} \qquad C_{\text{top,i}} \implies \operatorname{Ext}^1(B_{i-2},N) \xrightarrow[\text{nat}]{\simeq} \operatorname{coker}(C_{i-1}^\vee \to Z_{i-1}^\vee) \ .$$

Furthermore, if $i = 1$, then (4.2.6) shows that there is a natural homogeneous injection

$$\text{(4.2.18)} \qquad \operatorname{coker}(C_0^\vee \to B_0^\vee) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1(M,N)$$

and this injection is an isomorphism when $C_{\text{top},1}$ is in effect.

If $2 \le i$, then the hypothesis $C_{\text{bot,i}}$ guarantees the following information about the natural maps from the long exact sequence (4.2.4), when $1 \le j$, and from the long exact sequence (4.2.3), when $j = 0$. (Recall that $C_0 = Z_0$ and $\mathrm{H}_0 = M$).

$$\text{(4.2.19)} \qquad \operatorname{Ext}^{i-1}(B_0,N) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^i(M,N) \qquad \text{is an injection,}$$

$$\text{(4.2.20)} \qquad \operatorname{Ext}^{i-j-1}(Z_j,N) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{i-j}(B_{j-1},N) \qquad \text{is an injection,} \qquad \text{for } 1 \le j \le i-2.$$

If $H_{\text{bot,i}}$ and $C_{\text{top,i}}$ are both in effect, then the homogeneous natural maps from the long exact sequences (4.2.3) and (4.2.4) satisfy

$$\text{(4.2.21)} \qquad \begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{H}^i(C_\bullet^\vee) & \operatorname{coker}(C_{i-1}^\vee \to Z_{i-1}^\vee) \arrow[l, hook', "H_{\text{bot,i}}", "(4.2.14)"'] \arrow[r, leftrightarrow, "\overset{C_{\text{top,i}}}{\simeq}", "(4.2.17)"'] & \operatorname{Ext}^1(B_{i-2},N) & \operatorname{Ext}^1(Z_{i-2},N) \arrow[l, hook', "H_{\text{bot,i}}", "(4.2.12)"'] \\
{} \arrow[r, two heads, "C_{\text{top,i}}", "(4.2.16)"'] & \operatorname{Ext}^2(B_{i-3},N) & \cdots \arrow[l, hook', "H_{\text{bot,i}}", "(4.2.12)"'] \arrow[r, two heads, "C_{\text{top,i}}", "(4.2.16)"'] & \operatorname{Ext}^{i-1}(B_0,N) \\
{} \arrow[r, two heads, "C_{\text{top,i}}", "(4.2.15)"'] & \operatorname{Ext}^i(M,N), & \text{if } 2 \le i, \text{ and} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{H}^1(C_\bullet^\vee) & \operatorname{coker}(C_0^\vee \to B_0^\vee) \arrow[l, hook', "\text{always}", "(4.2.11)"'] \arrow[r, two heads, "C_{\text{top},1}", "(4.2.18)"'] & \operatorname{Ext}^1(M,N), & \text{if } i = 1;
\end{tikzcd}$$

and therefore, $\operatorname{Ext}^i(M,N)$ is a natural subquotient of $\mathrm{H}^i(C_\bullet)$. The proof of (g) is complete.

If $H_{\mathrm{top,i}}$ and $C_{\mathrm{bot,i}}$ are both in effect, then the homogeneous natural maps from the long exact sequences (4.2.3) and (4.2.4) satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{H}^i(C_\bullet^\vee) \underset{(4.2.10)}{\overset{H_{\mathrm{top,i}}}{\twoheadleftarrow}} \mathrm{coker}(C_{i-1}^\vee \to Z_{i-1}^\vee) \xhookrightarrow[(4.2.5)]{\text{always}} \mathrm{Ext}^1(B_{i-2},N) \underset{(4.2.7)}{\overset{H_{\mathrm{top,i}}}{\twoheadleftarrow}} \mathrm{Ext}^1(Z_{i-2},N)\\
&\xhookrightarrow[(4.2.20)]{C_{\mathrm{bot,i}}} \mathrm{Ext}^2(B_{i-3},N) \xtwoheadleftarrow[(4.2.7)]{H_{\mathrm{top,i}}} \cdots \xhookrightarrow[(4.2.20)]{C_{\mathrm{bot,i}}} \mathrm{Ext}^{i-1}(B_0,N)\\
&\xhookrightarrow[(4.2.19)]{C_{\mathrm{bot,i}}} \mathrm{Ext}^i(M,N), \qquad \text{if } 2 \le i, \text{ and}\\
&\mathrm{H}^1(C_\bullet^\vee) \underset{(4.2.11)}{\overset{H_{\mathrm{top,1}}}{\twoheadleftarrow}} \mathrm{coker}(C_0^\vee \to B_0^\vee) \xhookrightarrow[(4.2.18)]{\text{always}} \mathrm{Ext}^1(M,N), \qquad \text{if } i = 1;
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2.22}$$

and therefore, $\mathrm{H}^i(C_\bullet^\vee)$ is a natural subquotient of $\mathrm{Ext}^i(M,N)$. The proof of (f) is complete.

It is important to keep in mind that the natural homogeneous maps which appear in (4.2.21) are exactly the same as the natural homogeneous maps which appear in (4.2.22) because all of these maps come from the long exact sequences of homology (4.2.3) and (4.2.4) and the pictures (4.2.5) and (4.2.6). In particular, if the conditions $H_{\mathrm{bot,i}}$, $C_{\mathrm{top,i}}$, and $C_{\mathrm{bot,i}}$ all are in effect, then a quick comparison of (4.2.21) and (4.2.22) shows that the maps labeled "$C_{\mathrm{top,i}}$" in (4.2.21) are all isomorphisms; hence (4.2.21) provides an injection

$$\mathrm{H}^i(C_\bullet^\vee) \hookleftarrow \mathrm{Ext}^i(M,N),$$

and (e) is established.

Similarly, if the conditions $H_{\mathrm{top,i}}$, $H_{\mathrm{bot,i}}$, and $C_{\mathrm{bot,i}}$ all are in effect, then a quick comparison of (4.2.21) and (4.2.22) shows that the maps labeled "$H_{\mathrm{top,i}}$" in (4.2.22) are all isomorphisms; hence (4.2.22) provides an injection

$$\mathrm{H}^i(C_\bullet^\vee) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^i(M,N),$$

and (d) is established.

If the conditions $H_{\mathrm{top,i}}$, $H_{\mathrm{bot,i}}$, and $C_{\mathrm{top,i}}$ all are in effect, then the maps labeled "$H_{\mathrm{bot,i}}$" in (4.2.21) are all isomorphisms; hence (4.2.21) provides a surjection

$$\mathrm{H}^i(C_\bullet^\vee) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^i(M,N),$$

and (c) is established.

If the conditions $H_{\mathrm{top,i}}$, $C_{\mathrm{top,i}}$, and $C_{\mathrm{bot,i}}$ all are in effect, then the maps labeled "$C_{\mathrm{bot,i}}$" in (4.2.22) are all isomorphisms; hence (4.2.22) provides a surjection

$$\mathrm{H}^i(C_\bullet^\vee) \twoheadleftarrow \mathrm{Ext}^i(M,N),$$

and (b) is established.

Assertion (a) follows from (c) and (b). □

Proposition 5.1 is the main algebraic result of the paper. Observation 4.4 shows how to convert the hypotheses of 5.1 into the conditions of 4.1 which are used in the proof of 5.1.

Setup 4.3. Let R be a non-negatively graded Cohen-Macaulay ring with R_0 a Cohen-Macaulay factor ring of a local Gorenstein ring. Let d be the dimension of R, $\mathfrak{m}$ be its maximal homogeneous ideal, $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$ its residue field, and $\omega = \omega_R$ its graded canonical module; see 2.6. Let

$$(C_\bullet, \partial_\bullet): \quad \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_3} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} C_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0 \to 0$$

be a graded complex of finitely generated graded R-modules. Write $M = \mathrm{H}_0(C_\bullet)$ and $\mathrm{H}_\bullet = \mathrm{H}_\bullet(C_\bullet)$. Let $(-)^\vee$ denote the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, \omega)$.

Observation 4.4. *Adopt the setup of* 4.3 *and use the hypotheses* $H_{\mathrm{top},i}$, $H_{\mathrm{bot},i}$, $C_{\mathrm{top},i}$, *and* $C_{\mathrm{bot},i}$ *of* 4.1 *with* $N = \omega$.

(a) *Fix an integer* i *with* $1 \le i \le d$. *If* $\dim \mathrm{H}_j \le d - i + j$ *for every* j *with* $1 \le j \le i-1$, *then the data satisfies condition* $H_{\mathrm{bot},\ell}$ *for all* ℓ *with* $1 \le \ell \le i$ *and the data satisfies condition* $H_{\mathrm{top},\ell}$ *for all* ℓ *with* $1 \le \ell \le i-1$.

(b) *Fix integers* r *and* s *with* $1 \le r \le s \le d$. *If* $\min\{d, d-r+j+1\} \le \operatorname{depth} C_j$ *for every* j *with* $0 \le j \le s-1$, *then the data satisfies condition* $C_{\mathrm{top},\ell}$ *for all* ℓ *with* $r \le \ell \le s$ *and the data satisfies condition* $C_{\mathrm{bot},\ell}$ *for all* ℓ *with* $r+1 \le \ell \le s+1$.

Proof. We may assume that the local ring R_0 is complete. In the setting of (a), we have $\mathrm{H}^\ell_{\mathfrak{m}}(H_j) = 0$ for every ℓ with $d - i + j + 1 \le \ell$; that is, $d - (i - j - 1) \le \ell$. By local duality, this gives $\mathrm{Ext}^h_R(H_j, \omega) = 0$ for every h with $h \le i - j - 1$. In (b), we have $\mathrm{H}^\ell_{\mathfrak{m}}(C_j) = 0$ whenever

$$\ell \le \min\{d, d-r+j+1\} - 1 = d - \max\{1, r-j\},$$

which gives $\mathrm{Ext}^h_R(C_j, \omega) = 0$ for every h with $\max\{1, r-j\} \le h$. $\square$

Remark 4.5. By completing, using the Cohen structure theorem, and applying local duality, one can obtain Lemma 3.2 in full generality as a consequence of Observation 4.4 and Lemma 4.2. Indeed, when the hypotheses of Lemma 3.2 are inserted into Observation 4.4, one obtains, in particular, that the conditions $H_{\mathrm{bot},d-i}$ and $C_{\mathrm{top},d-i}$ hold; so Lemma 4.2.g guarantees that $\mathrm{Ext}^{d-i}(M, \omega)$ is a graded subquotient of $\mathrm{H}^{d-i}(\mathrm{Hom}(C_\bullet, \omega))$ which is a graded subquotient of $\mathrm{Hom}(C_{d-i}, \omega)$. Local duality yields $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is a graded subquotient of $\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(C_{d-i})$. Nonetheless, the original proof of Lemma 3.2 is so elementary and non-technical that we have included both proofs.

5. THE MAIN ALGEBRAIC STATEMENT.

Proposition 5.1 is the main algebraic result of the section. The rest of the paper consists of consequences of this result. In particular, numerical applications of 5.1 appear as Corollaries 5.3, 5.5, and 5.7.

Proposition 5.1. *Adopt the setup of* 4.3. *Fix integers* i *and* t *with* $1 \le t \le i \le d$. *Assume*

(1) $d - i + t \le \operatorname{depth} M/\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$,

(2) $\dim \mathrm{H}_j(C_\bullet) \le d-i+j$, *for all* j *with* $1 \le j \le i-1$,
(3) $\min\{d, d-i+t+j+1\} \le \operatorname{depth} C_j$, *for all* j *with* $0 \le j \le i-1$, *and*
(4) $i-j+1 \le \operatorname{depth} C_j^\vee$, *for all* j *with* $i-t+1 \le j \le i$.

Then there is a natural homogeneous injection

$$\operatorname{socle}(\operatorname{Ext}_R^i(M,\omega_R)) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_R^t(k, \operatorname{im}(\partial_{i-t+1}^\vee)) \ .$$

Moreover, $t \le \operatorname{depth}(\operatorname{im}(\partial_{i-t+1}^\vee))$, *and equality holds if* $\operatorname{depth}\operatorname{Ext}_R^i(M,\omega_R)=0$.

Remark 5.2. As observed in Remark 3.3, one typically applies Proposition 5.1 when the modules C_j are maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules, because, in this case, hypotheses (3) and (4) are automatically satisfied. Hypothesis (1) is always satisfied when $t=1$ and $i=d$, and hypothesis (1) is satisfied for any t and i if $M/\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(M)$ is the zero module. If

$$\mathrm{H}_j(C_\bullet)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0 \text{ for all } j \text{ and } \mathfrak{p} \text{ with } 1 \le j \le i-1 \text{ and } 2 \le \dim R/\mathfrak{p},$$

then hypothesis (2) is also satisfied.

Proof. We may assume R_0 is complete. The parameter t is positive; consequently, hypotheses (2) and (3) and Observation 4.4 imply that

(5.2.1) the conditions $H_{\mathrm{bot},i}$, $C_{\mathrm{top},i}$, and $C_{\mathrm{bot},i}$ of 4.1 all hold, and that

(5.2.2) the conditions $H_{\mathrm{top},h}$, $H_{\mathrm{bot},h}$ $C_{\mathrm{top},h}$, and $C_{\mathrm{bot},h}$ of 4.1 all hold, when $i-t+1 \le h \le i-1$.

Use (5.2.1) and Lemma 4.2.e in order to conclude that there is a natural homogeneous injection

$$\operatorname{Ext}^i(M,\omega) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^i(C_\bullet^\vee) \ . \tag{5.2.3}$$

Combine (5.2.3) and the natural inclusion $\mathrm{H}^i(C_\bullet^\vee) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(\partial_i^\vee)$ to see that

$$\operatorname{socle}(\operatorname{Ext}_R^i(M,\omega)) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{socle}(\operatorname{coker}(\partial_i^\vee)) \ .$$

Assumption (1) guarantees that $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^\ell(M)=0$ for $1 \le \ell \le d-i+t-1$. Therefore,

$$\operatorname{Ext}_R^h(M,\omega) = 0 \text{ for } i-t+1 \le h \le d-1.$$

Use (5.2.2) and Lemma 4.2.a to conclude that $\mathrm{H}^h(C_\bullet^\vee) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}^h(M,\omega)$ for $i-t+1 \le h \le d-1$; and therefore

$$\mathrm{H}^h(C_\bullet^\vee) = 0 \text{ for } i-t+1 \le h \le i-1.$$

It follows that the complex

$$C_{i-t}^\vee \xrightarrow{\partial_{i-t+1}^\vee} C_{i-t+1}^\vee \xrightarrow{\partial_{i-t+2}^\vee} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_{i-1}^\vee} C_{i-1}^\vee \xrightarrow{\partial_i^\vee} C_i^\vee \to \operatorname{coker}(\partial_i^\vee) \to 0 \tag{5.2.4}$$

is exact. Assumption (4) yields $\operatorname{Ext}_R^h(k, C_{i-h}^\vee)=0$ for $0 \le h \le t-1$. Long exact sequences associated to $\operatorname{Ext}_R^\bullet(k,-)$ then show that

$$\operatorname{socle}(\operatorname{coker}(\partial_i^\vee)) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_R(k, \operatorname{coker}(\partial_i^\vee)) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_R^t(k, \operatorname{im}(\partial_{i-t+1}^\vee)).$$

Moreover, $t \leq \operatorname{depth}(\operatorname{im}(\partial^{\vee}_{i-t+1}))$ by the exact complex (5.2.4). Equality holds unless

$$\operatorname{Ext}^t_R(k, \operatorname{im}(\partial^{\vee}_{i-t+1})) = 0,$$

which means $\operatorname{socle}(\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,\omega)) = 0$, hence $0 < \operatorname{depth}(\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,\omega))$. □

Corollary 5.3. *Adopt the setup of* 4.3 *and the hypotheses of* 5.1*, and assume in addition that* R_0 *is Artinian. Let* $a(R)$ *denote the a-invariant of* R *and let* Θ_t *be the invariant of* R *from* 2.4*. Assume that* $\mathrm{H}^{d-i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ *is finitely generated as an* R*-module. Then*

$$b_0(\mathrm{H}^{d-i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) \leq b_0(C_{i-t}) + \Theta_t + a(R).$$

Reminder. Keep in mind that the hypotheses of Lemma 5.1 are satisfied if the conditions of Remark 5.2 are in effect.

Proof. We may assume that the residue field k is infinite. Graded duality and Hom-tensor adjointness give an isomorphism of k-vector spaces

$$\operatorname{Hom}_k(k \otimes_R \mathrm{H}^{d-i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M), k) \simeq \operatorname{socle}(\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,\omega)). \tag{5.3.1}$$

If $C_{i-t} = 0$, then, in the language of Proposition 5.1, $\operatorname{im}(\partial^{\vee}_{i-t+1}) = 0$; hence, $\operatorname{socle}(\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,\omega)) = 0$ by Proposition 5.1 and $\mathrm{H}^{d-i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = 0$ by (5.3.1) and the assertion holds. Henceforth, we assume $C_{i-t} \neq 0$. There is nothing to show unless $\mathrm{H}^{d-i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is also non-zero.

The module $\mathrm{H}^{d-i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is finitely generated; therefore we obtain

$$b_0(\mathrm{H}^{d-i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) = -\operatorname{indeg}(\operatorname{socle}\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,\omega)).$$

Thus, it remains to prove that

$$\operatorname{indeg}(\operatorname{socle}(\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,\omega)) \geq -b_0(C_{i-t}) - \Theta_t - a(R).$$

From Proposition 5.1 we have a homogeneous embedding

$$\operatorname{socle}(\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,\omega)) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^t_R(k,N),$$

where $N = \operatorname{im}(\partial^{\vee}_{i-t+1})$. By the same Proposition, $t \leq \operatorname{depth} N$ and hence there exists a homogeneous N-regular sequence $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_t$ with $\sum_{j=1}^t \deg x_i = \Theta_t$. (The sequence $\underline{x}$ exists because R is a non-negatively graded ring with R_0 an Artinian local ring.) Write $\overline{N} = N \otimes_R R/(\underline{x})$. We have

$$\operatorname{Ext}^t_R(k,N) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_R(k,\overline{N})(\Theta_t) \simeq \operatorname{socle}(\overline{N})(\Theta_t).$$

Let F be a graded free R-module of finite rank, with $b_0(F) = b_0(C_{i-t})$, that maps homogeneously onto C_{i-t}. We obtain a homogeneous inclusion $C^{\vee}_{i-t} \hookrightarrow F^{\vee}$. On the other hand, $C^{\vee}_{i-t}$ maps onto $\operatorname{im}(\partial^{\vee}_{i-t+1}) = N$ and hence onto $\overline{N}$. Thus:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & C^{\vee}_{i-t}(\Theta_t) \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, two heads] & F^{\vee}(\Theta_t) \\
\operatorname{socle}(\overline{N})(\Theta_t) \arrow[r, hook] & \overline{N}(\Theta_t). &
\end{tikzcd}$$

We conclude that $\operatorname{socle}(\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,\omega))$ is a subquotient of $F^\vee(\Theta_t)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{indeg}\operatorname{socle}(\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,\omega)) &\geq \operatorname{indeg} F^\vee(\Theta_t) \\ &= \operatorname{indeg} F^\vee - \Theta_t \\ &= -b_0(F) + \operatorname{indeg}\omega - \Theta_t \\ &= -b_0(C_{i-t}) - a(R) - \Theta_t. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 5.4 is a self-contained reformulation of Corollary 5.3. The purpose of this reformulation is to obtain one simultaneous bound for $\operatorname{topdeg}\mathrm{H}^r_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ (which is the subject of Lemma 3.2) and $b_0(\mathrm{H}^s_{\mathfrak{m}}(M))$ (which is the subject of Corollary 5.3) for appropriately related r and s. We resume this theme in Section 7.

Corollary 5.4. *Let R be a non-negatively graded Cohen-Macaulay ring with R_0 an Artinian local ring. Denote the maximal homogeneous ideal of R by $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\dim R$ by d. Let*

$$C_\bullet: \quad \cdots \to C_2 \to C_1 \to C_0 \to 0$$

be a graded complex of finitely generated graded R-modules. Write $M = \mathrm{H}_0(C_\bullet)$. Fix integers i and t with $1 \leq t \leq i \leq d$. Assume that $\mathrm{H}^{i-t}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is finitely generated as an R-module. Let Θ_t be the invariant of R from 2.4. Assume

(1) $i \leq \operatorname{depth} M/\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$,
(2) $\dim \mathrm{H}_j(C_\bullet) \leq i+j-t$, *for all j with* $1 \leq j \leq d-1-i+t$,
(3) C_j *is a maximal Cohen Macaulay module for all j with* $0 \leq j \leq d-i+t$.

Then

$$\max\{b_0(\mathrm{H}^{i-t}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) - \Theta_t, a_i(M)\} \leq b_0(C_{d-i}) + a(R).$$

Proof. Apply Corollary 5.3 (with i replaced by $d-i+t$) and Lemma 3.2.2. □

Corollary 5.5 is the numerical consequence of Proposition 5.1 that we apply most often.

Corollary 5.5. *Let $R = k[x_1,\dots,x_d]$ be a standard graded polynomial ring over a field, with maximal homogeneous ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, let*

$$C_\bullet: \quad \cdots \to C_2 \to C_1 \to C_0 \to 0$$

be a graded complex of finitely generated graded R-modules, and $M = \mathrm{H}_0(C_\bullet)$. Assume that $\dim \mathrm{H}_j(C_\bullet) \leq j$ *whenever* $1 \leq j \leq d-1$ *and that* $\min\{d, j+2\} \leq \operatorname{depth} C_j$ *whenever* $0 \leq j \leq d-1$. *Then*

$$b_0(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) \leq b_0(C_{d-1}) - d + 1.$$

Proof. Apply Corollary 5.3 with $i = d$ and $t = 1$. □

The next result is analogous to Corollary 3.5. The hypothesis in 5.6 is a little weaker than the hypothesis in 3.5 because we do not require that the complex $C_\bullet$ be linear quite as far in the present result. Alas, the conclusion is also weaker. We conclude that the generators of $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ are concentrated in one degree rather than learning that all of $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is concentrated in one degree.

Corollary 5.6. *Let $R = k[x_1,\dots,x_d]$ be a standard graded polynomial ring over a field, with maximal homogeneous ideal $\mathfrak{m}$,*

$$C_\bullet: \quad \cdots \to C_2 \to C_1 \to C_0 \to 0$$

be a graded complex of finitely generated graded free R-modules, and $M = \mathrm{H}_0(C_\bullet)$. Assume that $\dim \mathrm{H}_j(C_\bullet) \le 1$ for all positive j and that the subcomplex

$$C_{d-1} \to \cdots \to C_0 \to 0$$

of $C_\bullet$ is q-linear for some integer q. Assume also that $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is not zero. Then every minimal homogeneous generator of $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ has degree q.

Proof. Apply Corollary 5.5 to conclude

$$b_0(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) \le b_0(C_{d-1}) - d + 1 = q.$$

On the other hand, $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is a submodule of M and every minimal homogeneous generator of M has degree q. □

Corollary 5.7 is well known and easy to prove if R is a standard graded polynomial ring over a field because, in this case, the Cohen-Macaulay module $M/\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is a free module, $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is a summand of M, the sum $\Theta_d + a(R)$ is zero, and it is true and clear that

$$b_0(\mathrm{H}^0(M)) \le b_0(M).$$

On the other hand, the result in the stated generality is new and intriguing.

Corollary 5.7. *Let R be a non-negatively graded Cohen-Macaulay ring with R_0 an Artinian local ring. Denote the maximal homogeneous ideal of R by $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\dim R$ by d. Let M be a finitely generated graded R-module of depth zero and assume that $M/\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay R-module. Then*

$$b_0(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) \le b_0(M) + \Theta_d + a(R),$$

where Θ_d is defined in 2.4 and $a(R)$ is the a-invariant of R.

Proof. Apply Corollary 5.3 with $i = t = d$ and $C_\bullet$ a free resolution of M. □

6. GEOMETRIC APPLICATIONS.

We apply the local cohomology techniques of Section 5 to draw conclusions about the generator degrees of the second symbolic power of the prime ideal which defines a monomial curve in affine space; of the second symbolic power of the ideal which defines a finite set of points in projective space; and of the saturated ideal defining the intersection of a projective scheme with a general linear subspace.

Recall that if I is an ideal in a Noetherian ring R, then the t-th symbolic power of I is $I^tR_W \cap R$, where W is the complement of the union of the associated primes of I and R_W is the localization of

R at the multiplicative system W; see [12]. The first two applications in this section make use of local cohomology by way of the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *Let P be a non-negatively graded Noetherian ring with P_0 an Artinian local ring. Let I be a homogeneous ideal in P with P/I a Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension one. Write $R = P/I$ and denote the maximal ideal of R by $\mathfrak{m}$. Then*

$$b_0(I^{(2)}) \le \max\{b_0(I) + b_0(\mathfrak{m}) + a(R), 2b_0(I)\}.$$

Proof. Let M be the R-module I/I^2. Notice that $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = I^{(2)}/I^2$. If $M/\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is the zero module, then $I^{(2)} = I$ and the degree bounds hold automatically. Otherwise, $M/\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ has positive depth and is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay R-module. Apply Corollary 5.7 to the R-module M to conclude that

$$b_0(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(I/I^2)) \le b_0(I/I^2) + \Theta_1 + a(R). \tag{6.1.1}$$

Nakayama's Lemma guarantees that $b_0(I/I^2) = b_0(I)$. In the present situation, Θ_1, which is defined in (2.4), is equal to $b_0(\mathfrak{m})$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} b_0(I^{(2)}) \le \max\left\{b_0\left(I^{(2)}/I^2\right), b_0(I^2)\right\} &= \max\left\{b_0\left(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(I/I^2)\right), b_0(I^2)\right\} \\ &\le \max\{b_0(I) + b_0(\mathfrak{m}) + a(R), 2b_0(I)\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Our first application of Lemma 6.1 is to monomial curves. The hypothesis in Corollary 6.2 that H is a numerical semigroup includes the requirement that all large positive integers are in H. The Frobenius number of H, denoted $F(H)$, is the largest integer b with $b \notin H$. One way to see the connection between $F(H)$ and the language of Lemma 6.1 is described below.

Let R be a non-negatively graded ring over a field. Denote the maximal homogeneous ideal of R by $\mathfrak{m}$ and the dimension of R by d. A well known theorem of Serre, see for example, [1, 4.3.5], shows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\max\{n \mid \text{Hilbert Function}_R(n) \neq \text{Hilbert quasi-Polynomial}_R(n)\} \\ &= \max\left\{ n \;\middle|\; \sum_{i=0}^{d} (-1)^i \dim \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)_n \neq 0 \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.1.2}$$

If R is Cohen-Macaulay, then the number on the right side of (6.1.2) is equal to the a-invariant of R. If $R \subseteq k[t]$ is the homogeneous coordinate ring of a monomial curve, then the number on the left side of (6.1.2) represents the largest power n with $t^n \notin R$. If R is a standard graded ring, then the number on the left is often called the postulation number of R.

Corollary 6.2. *Let k be a field, H be a numerical semigroup minimally generated by the positive integers $h_1 < h_2 < \cdots < h_\ell$, P be the polynomial ring $k[x_1, \dots, x_\ell]$, and $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq P$ be the prime ideal which defines the monomial curve*

$$\{(\tau^{h_1}, \dots, \tau^{h_\ell}) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^\ell_k \mid \tau \in k\}.$$

Then the maximal generator degree of the second symbolic power of $\mathfrak{p}$ *satisfies*

$$b_0(\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}) \le \max\{b_0(\mathfrak{p}) + \text{ the maximal generator of } H + \text{ the Frobenius number of } H, 2b_0(\mathfrak{p})\}.$$

Proof. View P as a graded ring with $\deg x_i = h_i$. Then $\mathfrak{p}$ is the kernel of the homogeneous ring homomorphism $P \to k[t]$ with $x_i \mapsto t^{h_i}$. Let $R = P/\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ denote the maximal homogeneous ideal of R. We see that R is a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay domain, the a-invariant of R is the Frobenius number of H, and $b_0(\mathfrak{m}) = h_\ell$ is the maximal generator of H. The assertion follows from Lemma 6.1. □

Example 6.3. In the language of Corollary 6.2, if $H = <3,4,5>$, then

$$b_0(\mathfrak{p}) + b_0(\mathfrak{m}) + F(H) = 10 + 5 + 2 = 17, \quad b_0(\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}) = 18, \quad \text{and} \quad 2b_0(\mathfrak{p}) = 20;$$

so there are situations where some minimal generator of $\mathfrak{p}^2$ of degree more than

$$b_0(\mathfrak{p}) + b_0(\mathfrak{m}) + F(H)$$

is also a minimal generator of $\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}$. The calculation of $b_0(\mathfrak{p}^{(2)})$ was made in Macaulay2 [6] over the field of rational numbers.

Our second application of Lemma 6.1 applies to the ideal of a finite set of points in projective space and to other similar ideals. In the situation of Corollary 6.4,

$$\operatorname{reg}(R) = a(R) + 1 = \text{ the postulation number of } P/I \text{ plus one;}$$

see the discussion surrounding (6.1.2).

Corollary 6.4. *Let P be a standard graded polynomial ring over a field and I be a homogeneous ideal in P with $R = P/I$ Cohen-Macaulay of dimension one. Then*

$$b_0(I^{(2)}) \le b_0(I) + \operatorname{reg}(R) + 1.$$

Furthermore, if, in addition to the above hypotheses, the minimal homogeneous resolution of I by free P-modules is not linear, then

$$b_0(I^{(2)}) \le b_0(I) + \operatorname{reg}(R). \tag{6.4.1}$$

Proof. The ring R is a standard graded ring over a field; so every minimal generator of the maximal homogeneous ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of R has degree one; furthermore $1 + a(R) = \operatorname{reg}(R)$. Apply Lemma 6.1 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} b_0(I^{(2)}) \le \max\{b_0(I) + b_0(\mathfrak{m}) + a(R), 2b_0(I)\} &\le \max\{b_0(I) + \operatorname{reg}(R), 2b_0(I)\} \\ &= b_0(I) + \max\{\operatorname{reg}(R), b_0(I)\}. \end{aligned}$$

In the general case,

$$b_0(I) \le \operatorname{reg}(I) = \operatorname{reg}(R) + 1.$$

On the other hand, if the minimal homogeneous resolution of I by free P-modules is not linear, then

$$b_0(I) < \operatorname{reg}(I) = \operatorname{reg}(R) + 1;$$

hence $b_0(I) \le \operatorname{reg}(R)$. $\square$

Example 6.5. If $P = \mathbb{Q}[x,y,z]$ and I is the ideal of P generated by the 2×2 minors of

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & y & z \\ y & z & x \end{bmatrix},$$

then $R = P/I$ is a one dimensional Cohen-Macaulay ring, the minimal homogeneous resolution of I by free P-modules is linear,

$$b_0(I) + \operatorname{reg}(R) = 2 + 1 = 3, \quad \text{and} \quad b_0(I^{(2)}) = 4;$$

so the inequality (6.4.1) does not hold in the general case. Again, $b_0(I^{(2)})$ was computed using Macaulay2 [6].

Remark 6.6. If one re-does the calculation of Corollary 6.4 starting at (6.1.1), then one can read the conclusion of Corollary 6.4 as

$$b_0(I^{(2)}/I^2) \le b_0(I) + \operatorname{reg}(R). \tag{6.6.1}$$

Indeed,

$$b_0(I^{(2)}/I^2) = b_0(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(I/I^2)) \le b_0(I/I^2) + \Theta_1 + a(R) = b_0(I) + 1 + a(R) = b_0(I) + \operatorname{reg}(R).$$

The formulation (6.6.1) affords a direct comparison with the relevant part of [4, Cor 7.8]:

$$\operatorname{topdeg}(I^{(2)}/I^2) \le b_1(I) - 1 + \operatorname{reg}(R).$$

Observe that $b_0(I^{(2)}/I^2) \le \operatorname{topdeg}(I^{(2)}/I^2)$ and $b_0(I) \le b_1(I) - 1$. (Recall the meaning of b_i from (2.2).)

Corollary 6.7 is about general hyperplane sections of subschemes of projective space over an infinite field k. Let V be the subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}_k$ defined by the homogeneous ideal I in $R = k[x_1,\dots,x_d]$ and H be a linear subspace of $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}_k$ defined by c general linear forms in $k[x_1,\dots,x_d]$, for some c with $1 \le c \le \dim V$. We produce an upper bound for the maximal generator degree of the saturated ideal defining $V \cap H$, in terms of information that can be read from the minimal homogeneous resolution of R/I. Notice that the saturated ideal of $V \cap H$ is the ideal of polynomials vanishing on $V \cap H$ if I is radical [5, 5.2]. If V is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay, then this information can be obtained by way of the well-known technique of Artinian reduction. However, if V is not arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay, then the bounds that we obtain are new and interesting. In particular, we have highlighted the case when $V \cap H$ is a zero dimensional scheme.

Corollary 6.7. *Let $R = k[x_1,\dots,x_d]$ be a standard graded polynomial ring over an infinite field k, I be a homogeneous ideal of R, c be an integer with $1 \le c \le \dim(R/I) - 1$, $\ell_1,\dots,\ell_c$ be general linear forms in R, $\overline{I}$ be the image I in $\overline{R} = R/(\ell_1,\dots,\ell_c)$, and J be the saturation $J = \overline{I}^{\mathrm{sat}}$ of $\overline{I}$. Then*

$$b_0(J) \le \max\{b_0(I), b_{d-c-2}(I) - d + c + 1\}.$$

Furthermore, if $c = \dim(R/I) - 1$, *then*

$$b_0(J) \leq b_{d-c-2}(I) - d + c + 2.$$

Proof. Denote the maximal homogeneous ideal of R by $\mathfrak{m}$ and the maximal homogeneous ideal of $\overline{R}$ by $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}$. The ideal J of $\overline{R}$ is equal to

$$J = \bigcup_i (\overline{I} :_{\overline{R}} \overline{\mathfrak{m}}^i);$$

therefore

$$J/\overline{I} = \mathrm{H}^0_{\overline{\mathfrak{m}}}(\overline{R}/\overline{I})$$

and

$$b_0(J) \leq \max\{b_0(\overline{I}), b_0(\mathrm{H}^0_{\overline{\mathfrak{m}}}(\overline{R}/\overline{I}))\} \leq \max\{b_0(I), b_0(\mathrm{H}^0_{\overline{\mathfrak{m}}}(\overline{R}/\overline{I}))\}.$$

We now bound $b_0(\mathrm{H}^0_{\overline{\mathfrak{m}}}(\overline{R}/\overline{I}))$. Let $C_\bullet$ be a minimal homogeneous resolution of R/I by free R-modules. Consider the complex $\overline{C_\bullet} = C_\bullet \otimes_R \overline{R}$. The linear forms $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_c$ are general so $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/I, \overline{R})$ has finite length for all positive i. (See [20, 2.3] for a proof and [15, above 2.2] for an explanation; or prove the assertion directly using an argument in the style of the proof of Lemma 8.14.) Keep in mind that $\overline{R}$ is a polynomial ring of dimension $d - c$. Apply Corollary 5.5 to the complex $\overline{C_\bullet}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} b_0(\mathrm{H}^0_{\overline{\mathfrak{m}}}(\overline{R}/\overline{I})) &\leq b_0(C_{d-c-1}) - (d-c-1) = b_{d-c-1}(R/I) - (d-c-1) \\ &= b_{d-c-2}(I) - (d-c-1). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the general case.

If $c = \dim(R/I) - 1$, then $d - c - 1 = \operatorname{ht} I = \operatorname{grade} I$ and

$$b_0(R/I) < b_1(R/I) < \cdots < b_{d-c-1}(R/I)$$

because

$$0 \to C_0^* \to \cdots \to C_{d-c-1}^*$$

is a minimal resolution. It follows that

$$b_0(I) < \cdots < b_{d-c-2}(I);$$

and therefore, $b_0(I) \leq b_{d-c-2}(I) - d + c + 2$. □

7. APPLICATION TO PARTIAL CASTELNUOVO-MUMFORD REGULARITY.

Various forms of partial regularity appear in the literature; see, for example, [11, 2, 16]. Recall from (2.9) that

$$\operatorname{reg} M = \max\{a_i(M) + i \mid 0 \leq i\}.$$

The number $\operatorname{reg}(M/\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M))$ which appears on the left side of (7.1.1) is equal to the *partial regularity*

$$\max\{a_i(M) + i \mid 1 \leq i\} \tag{7.0.1}$$

of M. We obtain the right side of (7.1.1) as an upper bound for the partial regularity (7.0.1) of M. Then we show that the maximal generator degree of the submodule $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ of M that is ignored in the calculation of (7.0.1) satisfies the same bound.

We also offer the following interpretation of the right side of (7.1.1). If $C_\bullet$ had been a minimal resolution of M by free R-modules then $b_0(C_i)$ would equal $b_i(M)$. Of course,

$$\max\{b_i(M)-i \mid 0\le i\le d-t\}$$

is another partial regularity of M. The regularity $\operatorname{reg}(R)$ measures how far R is from being a polynomial ring.

Corollary 7.1. *Let R be a Cohen-Macaulay standard graded ring with R_0 a field. Denote the maximal homogeneous ideal of R by $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\dim R$ by d. Let*

$$C_\bullet:\quad \cdots\to C_2\to C_1\to C_0\to 0$$

be a graded complex of finitely generated graded R-modules. Write $M=\mathrm{H}_0(C_\bullet)$. Fix an integer t with $1\le t\le d$. Assume

(1) $t\le \operatorname{depth} M/\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$,

(2) $\dim \mathrm{H}_j(C_\bullet)\le j$, *for all j with $1\le j\le d-1$, and*

(3) *C_j is a maximal Cohen Macaulay module for all j with $0\le j\le d-1$.*

Then

$$\max\{b_0(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)),\operatorname{reg}(M/\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M))\}\le \max\{b_0(C_i)-i \mid 0\le i\le d-t\}+\operatorname{reg}(R). \tag{7.1.1}$$

Proof. The assumption on the depth of $M/\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ implies that

$$\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}\left(M/\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)\right)=0\quad \text{for } 0\le i\le t-1.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{reg}(M/\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) &= \max\{a_i(M)+i \mid t\le i\le d\}\\ &\le \max\{b_0(C_{d-i})+a(R)+i \mid t\le i\le d\} && \text{by Lemma 3.2.2}\\ &= \max\{b_0(C_i)+a(R)+d-i \mid 0\le i\le d-t\}.\end{aligned}$$

Apply Corollary 5.3 with $i=d$ to obtain

$$b_0(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M))\le b_0(C_{d-t})+t+a(R).$$

The invariant Θ_t from 5.3 and 2.4 is t because R is standard graded, and $a(R)+d=\operatorname{reg}(R)$ for the same reason. □

8. APPLICATIONS TO IDEALS GENERATED BY LOWER ORDER PFAFFIANS AND TO RESIDUAL INTERSECTIONS OF GRADE THREE GORENSTEIN IDEALS.

This section is motivated by the following question: Given an $n\times m$ matrix φ of linear forms from a standard graded polynomial ring $R=k[x_1,\dots,x_d]$, when is it true that an ideal of minors

$I_t(\varphi)$ is a power of the maximal homogeneous ideal $\mathfrak{m}$? An obvious necessary condition is that $I_t(\varphi)$ has height d, and sometimes this condition is also sufficient. As is well known, if $\operatorname{ht} I_m(\varphi) = d = n-m+1$, then $I_m(\varphi)$, the ideal of maximal minors, is equal to $\mathfrak{m}^m$.

To deal with ideals of non-maximal minors, assume that

$$m-i+1 \le \operatorname{ht} I_i(\varphi) \text{ for } m-d+1 \le i \le m. \tag{8.0.1}$$

This assumption predicts that $\operatorname{ht} I_t(\varphi) = d$ precisely when $1 \le t \le m-d+1$, and indeed,

$$I_t(\varphi) = \mathfrak{m}^t \text{ for } 1 \le t \le m-d+1. \tag{8.0.2}$$

To see this, we may assume that the field k is infinite. Let M be the cokernel of φ and N be a submodule generated by $n-m+d-1$ homogeneous minimal generators of M. The above height inequalities for the ideals $I_i(\varphi)$ mean that $\mu(M_\mathfrak{p}) \le \dim R_\mathfrak{p} + n - m$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ with $\dim R_\mathfrak{p} \le d-1$. Proposition 8.13 below shows that after a k-linear change of generators of M, $(M/N)_\mathfrak{p} = 0$ whenever $\dim R_\mathfrak{p} \le d-1$. The module M/N is presented by a submatrix φ' of φ with $n-(n-m+d-1) = m-d+1$ rows and m columns. Since the module M/N has codimension d, it follows that $\operatorname{ht} I_{m-d+1}(\varphi') = d = m-(m-d+1)+1$. Thus, as explained above, the ideal of maximal minors $I_{m-d+1}(\varphi')$ is equal to $\mathfrak{m}^{m-d+1}$. It follows that, in particular, $I_{m-d+1}(\varphi) = \mathfrak{m}^{m-d+1}$. To see that $I_t = \mathfrak{m}^t$ for $t \le m-d+1$, one employs a deformation argument as in the proof of Theorem 8.3.a below.

8.1. The condition (8.0.1) was employed in the above proof to apply basic element theory and to deduce that the height of an ideal of minors does not change if we pass from the matrix φ to a suitable submatrix φ'. The condition (8.0.1) appears prominently in the study of symmetric algebras of ideals and modules. A further essential ingredient in the above proof is the fact that the ideal of maximal minors of φ' has a linear resolution, which implies that it is a power of the maximal ideal and hence is equal to the corresponding ideal of minors of φ. The equality of these ideals has been called the row condition in the literature and has been shown to be related to the Cohen-Macaulayness of Rees algebras.

In this section we prove a statement analogous to (8.0.2) for ideals of Pfaffians of alternating matrices. The method of proof outlined above cannot work in this setting because the relevant resolutions are not completely linear and their duals are not acyclic. Moreover, the row condition does not hold anymore because Rees algebras of ideals generated by Pfaffians tend not to be Cohen-Macaulay. The proofs are more involved, and require the results of the present paper, most notably Corollary 5.6, and the resolutions worked out in [14].

Thus let φ be an $n \times n$ alternating matrix of linear forms from a standard graded polynomial ring $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$. Suppose that $\operatorname{Pf}_{n-1}(\varphi)$ is a height three Gorenstein ideal. Notice that $\operatorname{rank} \varphi = n-1$. As above we require that $n-i \le \operatorname{ht} I_i(\varphi)$ for $n-d \le i \le n-1$. This assumption has equivalent characterizations that we will use throughout this section:

Remark 8.2. Let R be a Cohen-Macaulay ring, s and n be integers with $3 \le n$ and n odd, and φ be an $n \times n$ alternating matrix with entries from R. Let I denote the ideal $\operatorname{Pf}_{n-1}(\varphi)$ and assume that I has height three. The following conditions are equivalent:

(a) $\mu(I_\mathfrak{p}) \le \dim R_\mathfrak{p} + 1$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)$ with $\dim R_\mathfrak{p} \le s-1$;
(b) $n-i \le \operatorname{ht} I_i(\varphi)$ for every i with $n-s \le i \le n-1$;
(c) $n-i+1 \le \operatorname{ht} \operatorname{Pf}_i(\varphi)$ for every even i with $n-s+1 \le i \le n-1$ and in addition, if $n-s$ is even, $n-s \le \operatorname{ht} \operatorname{Pf}_{n-s}(\varphi)$.

Proof. The equivalence of (a) and (b) follows from [3, 20.6], and the equivalence of (b) and (c) is proved in [14, 5.11.a]. □

Condition (a) of Remark 8.2 means that I satisfies $\mathcal{F}_0$, in the sense of [10], locally up to codimension $s-1$, whereas conditions (b) and (c) appeared in [14, 5.8, 5.9] and were named WMC_{n-s} and WPC_{n-s}, respectively. These conditions are used, for instance, to guarantee the acyclicity of certain complexes, like approximation complexes ([8, 5.3] or [9, proof of 6.10]) and the complexes of [14].

The main result in this section is Theorem 8.3, where φ is an $n \times n$ alternating matrix of linear forms from a standard graded polynomial ring $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$. We require the equivalent conditions of Remark 8.2 for $s = d$. This hypothesis ensures that $\operatorname{Pf}_t(\varphi)$ has height d precisely when t is even with $2 \le t \le n-d+1$, and we prove in the theorem that $\operatorname{Pf}_t(\varphi)$ is a power of $\mathfrak{m}$ in the same range.

Theorem 8.3 also describes the sum of all homogeneous d-residual intersections of $\operatorname{Pf}_{n-1}(\varphi)$. In discussion 8.1, where φ was an arbitrary matrix, if we assume further that the cokernel of φ is an ideal I, then the ideal generated by the maximal minors of φ' is a d-residual intersection of I. As we have seen, this particular residual intersection is a power of $\mathfrak{m}$ and hence is independent of the choice of φ'. When φ is an alternating matrix and $I = \operatorname{Pf}_{n-1}(\varphi)$, then the analogues d-residual intersections of I are not a power of the maximal ideal, but their sum is.

Recall that for an ideal I in a Noetherian ring R and an integer s with $\operatorname{ht} I \le s$, an ideal K of R is called an *s-residual intersection* of I if there exists an s-generated ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq I$ such that $K = \mathfrak{a} : I$ and $s \le \operatorname{ht} K$. The s-residual intersection is called *geometric* if $s+1 \le \operatorname{ht}(I+K)$ and *homogeneous* if $\mathfrak{a}$ and I are homogeneous ideals in the graded ring R.

Theorem 8.3. *Let k be an infinite field, d and n be integers with $3 \le d \le n$ and n odd, R be the standard graded polynomial ring $k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$, φ be an $n \times n$ alternating matrix with homogeneous linear entries from R. Let I denote the ideal $\operatorname{Pf}_{n-1}(\varphi)$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ denote the maximal homogeneous ideal of R. Assume that I has height three and satisfies the equivalent conditions of Remark 8.2 for $s = d$. Then the following statements hold.*

(a) *The ideal $\operatorname{Pf}_t(\varphi)$ is equal to $\mathfrak{m}^{t/2}$ for all even integers t with $2 \le t \le n-d+1$.*
(b) *The sum of all homogeneous d-residual intersections of I is $\mathfrak{m}^{\lceil \frac{n-d}{2} \rceil}$.*

The proof of Theorem 8.3.a appears in 8.8 and the proof of Theorem 8.3.b appears in 8.15. The result which connects the local cohomology of Section 5 and the present situation is Lemma 8.4.

When d is even, we apply Lemma 8.4 to the complex $\mathcal{D}_\bullet^{d-1}(\varphi)$ of [14] in Lemma 8.5 and learn that $\mathrm{Pf}_t(\varphi) = \mathfrak{m}^{t/2}$ for the unique value $t = n-d+1$. We deform $\mathrm{Pf}_{n-1}(\varphi)$ in order to draw conclusions about $\mathrm{Pf}_t(\varphi)$ for even t with $t < n-d+1$. The main deformation result is Lemma 8.7.

A generating set for each residual intersection of a grade three Gorenstein ideal is given in [14]. We deduce (b) from (a) by showing that

$$\mathrm{Pf}_{2\lceil \frac{n-d}{2}\rceil}(\varphi) \subseteq \sum J \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{\lceil \frac{n-d}{2}\rceil}, \tag{8.3.1}$$

where the sum is taken over all homogeneous d-residual intersections of I.

Lemma 8.4 is a continuation of Corollary 5.6, except we have added the hypothesis that the complex $C_\bullet$ has length d; notice that $C_\bullet$ is acyclic in this case, by the Acyclicity Lemma.

Lemma 8.4. *Let k be a field and $R = k[x_1,\dots,x_d]$ be a standard graded polynomial ring with maximal homogeneous ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Suppose that*

$$C_\bullet : \quad 0 \to C_d \to \cdots \to C_0 \to 0$$

is a homogeneous acyclic complex of finitely generated non-zero free R-modules and that the subcomplex

$$0 \to C_{d-1} \to \cdots \to C_0 \to 0$$

of $C_\bullet$ is a q-linear complex, for some integer q. Let $M = \mathrm{H}_0(C_\bullet)$ and $N = b_0(C_d) - q - d + 1$. Then the following conclusions hold.

(a) *The product $\mathfrak{m}^N \cdot \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is equal to zero.*

(b) *If all of the minimal generators of C_d have the same degree, then*

$$\mathfrak{m}^N = \mathrm{ann}_R\, \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M).$$

Proof. Let $\omega_R \simeq R(-d)$ be the graded canonical module of R and let $(-)^\vee$ denote the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-,\omega_R)$. Apply graded local duality in the form:

(8.4.1) The graded R-modules $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^d_R(M,\omega_R)$ are k-dual to one another,

see, for example, [1, 3.6.19]. If $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = 0$, then $N = 0$ and the assertions are true. Henceforth we assume $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \neq 0$. From Corollary 5.6 we know that every minimal homogeneous generator of $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ has degree q. Hence by (8.4.1) every socle element of $\mathrm{Ext}^d_R(M,\omega_R)$ has degree $-q$. Furthermore, (8.4.1) shows that $\mathrm{Ext}^d_R(M,\omega_R)$ is an R-module of finite length with the same annihilator as $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$.

Let ∂_d denote the differential $\partial_d : C_d \to C_{d-1}$. The definition of Ext yields an exact sequence

$$C_{d-1}^\vee \xrightarrow{\partial_d^\vee} C_d^\vee \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^d_R(M,\omega_R) \to 0\,.$$

The minimal homogeneous generators of $C_d^\vee$ have degrees at least $-b_0(C_d)+d$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^d_R(M,\omega_R)$ is a module of finite length with socle in degree $-q$. It follows that that $[\mathrm{Ext}^d_R(M,\omega_R)]_i = 0$ except when $-b_0(C_d)+d \le i \le -q$. Hence $\mathrm{Ext}^d_R(M,\omega_R)$ is annihilated by $\mathfrak{m}^{-q-(-b_0(C_d)+d)+1} = \mathfrak{m}^N$.

This completes the proof of (a).

We now prove (b). The homogeneous basis elements of $C_{d-1}^{\vee}$ and of $C_d^{\vee}$ have degrees

$$-(q+d-1)+d=-q+1 \text{ and } -b_0(C_d)+d,$$

respectively. It follows that

$$\operatorname{im}\partial_d^{\vee} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{-q+1-(-b_0(C_d)+d)}C_d^{\vee}=\mathfrak{m}^N C_d^{\vee}.$$

On the other hand, since $\mathfrak{m}^N$ annihilates $\operatorname{Ext}_R^d(M,\omega_R) \simeq \operatorname{coker}\partial_d^{\vee}$, we have

$$\mathfrak{m}^N C_d^{\vee} \subseteq \operatorname{im}\partial_d^{\vee}.$$

We conclude that $\operatorname{im}\partial_d^{\vee}=\mathfrak{m}^N C_d^{\vee}$, and therefore $\operatorname{Ext}_R^d(M,\omega_R) \simeq R/\mathfrak{m}^N \otimes_R C_d^{\vee}$. Now (b) follows because $C_d^{\vee}$ is a non-zero free R-module. □

When d is even, we apply Lemma 8.4 to the complex $\mathcal{D}_\bullet^{d-1}(\varphi)$ of [14] in Lemma 8.5 and learn that $\operatorname{Pf}_t(\varphi)=\mathfrak{m}^{t/2}$ for the unique value $t=n-d+1$.

Lemma 8.5. *Let d and n be integers with $3 \le d \le n$, n odd, and d even. Let R be a non-negatively graded Gorenstein ring with R_0 a local Cohen-Macaulay ring. Denote the maximal homogeneous ideal of R by $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\dim R$ by d. Let φ be an $n \times n$ alternating matrix of linear forms from R and $I=\operatorname{Pf}_{n-1}(\varphi)$. Assume that I has height three and satisfies the equivalent conditions of Remark* 8.2 *for $s=d$. Then the following statements hold.*

(a) *The annihilator of* $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(\operatorname{Sym}_{d-1}(I))$ *is equal to* $\operatorname{Pf}_{n-d+1}(\varphi)$.

(b) *If R is a standard graded polynomial ring over a field, then* $\operatorname{Pf}_{n-d+1}(\varphi)=\mathfrak{m}^{\frac{n-d+1}{2}}$.

Proof. Consider the complex

$$\mathcal{D}_\bullet^{d-1}(\varphi): \quad 0 \to \mathcal{D}_d^{d-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_d} \mathcal{D}_{d-1}^{d-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{d-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \mathcal{D}_0^{d-1},$$

from [14, Def. 2.15 and Fig. 4.7] that is associated to the alternating matrix φ. The zero-th homology of $\mathcal{D}_\bullet^{d-1}(\varphi)$ is $\operatorname{Sym}_{d-1}(I)$; see [14, 4.13.b]. The complex $\mathcal{D}_\bullet^{d-1}(\varphi)$ is acyclic since I satisfies condition (c) of Remark 8.2 with $s=d$; one sees this by applying [14, 6.2] with $g=n$ and $r=n-d$. The complex $\mathcal{D}_\bullet^{d-1}(\varphi)$ is a homogeneous complex of finitely generated free R-modules and all maps are linear except for ∂_d; see [14, 2.15.d]. The map $\partial_d:\mathcal{D}_d^{d-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_d} \mathcal{D}_{d-1}^{d-1}$ is given explicitly in [14, 2.15.c and 2.15.f]; the free module $\mathcal{D}_d^{d-1}$ has rank one and, after bases are chosen, ∂_d is a column vector whose entries are the Pfaffians of the principal $(n-d+1)\times(n-d+1)$ alternating submatrices of φ. It follows that

$$\operatorname{ann}(\operatorname{coker}\partial_d^{\vee})=\operatorname{Pf}_{n-d+1}(\varphi),$$

where $(-)^{\vee}$ denotes dualizing into the graded canonical module $\omega_R \simeq R(a)$.

Notice that $\operatorname{coker}\partial_d^{\vee} \simeq \operatorname{Ext}_R^d(\operatorname{Sym}_{d-1}(I),\omega_R)$. Moreover by (8.4.1), the R-modules $\operatorname{Ext}_R^d(M,\omega_R)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(M)$ have the same annihilator. Hence $\operatorname{Pf}_{n-d+1}(\varphi)$ is also the annihilator of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(\operatorname{Sym}_{d-1}(I))$. This completes the proof of (a).

Assertion (b) is established by comparing (a) and Lemma 8.4.b. The discussion at the beginning of this proof shows that the complex $\mathcal{D}_\bullet^{d-1}(\varphi)$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 8.4.b with $N=(n-d+1)/2$. □

We now prove Lemma 8.7 which is crucial to our proof of Theorem 8.3. In Lemma 8.7 we deform a height three Gorenstein ideal which satisfies the equivalent conditions of Remark 8.2, for some s, into a height three Gorenstein ideal which satisfies the equivalent conditions of Remark 8.2 for $s+1$ at the expense of adjoining a polynomial variable to the ambient ring. The deformation theory of Pfaffian schemes is well understood. We include an essentially complete proof in the language we are using. Observation 8.6 is very elementary; we suppress the proof.

Observation 8.6. *Let R be a universally catenary, locally equidimensional Noetherian ring, $\widetilde{R} = R[T]$ be a polynomial ring in one variable over R, I be an ideal of R, $\widetilde{I}$ be an ideal of $\widetilde{R}$. Suppose that the ideals (I,T) and $(\widetilde{I},T)$ of $\widetilde{R}$ are equal. Then* $\operatorname{ht}_R I \le \operatorname{ht}_{\widetilde{R}} \widetilde{I}$.

In order to extend the conclusion of Lemma 8.5 from a result about $\operatorname{Pf}_{n-d+1}(\varphi)$ to a result about $\operatorname{Pf}_t(\varphi)$ for all even t, with $2 \le t \le n-d+1$, we deform φ. The main deformation result is Lemma 8.7.

Lemma 8.7. *Let k be an infinite field and R be a standard graded Cohen-Macaulay ring with $R_0 = k$. Denote the dimension of R by d. Let s and n be integers with $3 \le n$ and n odd, and let φ be an $n \times n$ alternating matrix with homogeneous linear entries from R. Suppose that*

(a) $d < \binom{s+2}{2}$,
(b) $3 \le \operatorname{ht} \operatorname{Pf}_{n-1}(\varphi)$, *and*
(c) $\operatorname{Pf}_{n-1}(\varphi)$ *satisfies the equivalent conditions of Remark* 8.2.

Then there exists an $n \times n$ alternating matrix Φ with homogeneous linear entries from the standard graded k-algebra $R[x_{d+1}]$ which satisfies

(1) Φ *specializes to* φ *under* $x_{d+1} \mapsto 0$,
(2) $3 \le \operatorname{ht} \operatorname{Pf}_{n-1}(\Phi)$, *and*
(3) $\operatorname{Pf}_{n-1}(\Phi)$ *satisfies the equivalent conditions of Remark* 8.2 *for* $s+1$.

Proof. We write $\Phi = \varphi + x_{d+1}\varphi_{d+1}$ for some $n \times n$ alternating matrix of constants φ_{d+1} which has not yet been determined. Since φ satisfies condition (b) of Remark 8.2, we obtain $n-i \le \operatorname{ht} I_i(\varphi)$ for every i with $n-s \le i \le n-1$. Hence Observation 8.6 shows that $n-i \le \operatorname{ht} I_i(\Phi)$ for every i with $n-s \le i \le n-1$, and it remains to choose φ_{d+1} in such a way that

$$s+1 \le \operatorname{ht} I_{n-s-1}(\Phi). \tag{8.7.1}$$

For this we may assume that $1 \le n-s-1$.

Let $\{z_{i,j}\}$ represent the set of indeterminates $\{z_{i,j} \mid 1 \le i < j \le n\}$ and Z represent the $n \times n$ alternating matrix with $z_{i,j}$ in row i and column j for $1 \le i < j \le n$. Notice that $\varphi + Z$ is a generic alternating $n \times n$ matrix with entries in the ring $R[\{z_{i,j}\}]$. Let c be the smallest positive integer with $n-s-1 \le 2c$. We obtain

$$\sqrt{I_{n-s-1}(\varphi+Z)} \supseteq \operatorname{Pf}_{2c}(\varphi+Z),$$

and the latter ideal has height $\binom{n-2c+2}{2}$ according to Room [18]. It follows that

$$\binom{n-2c+2}{2} \le \operatorname{ht} I_{n-s-1}(\varphi+Z).$$

Since $d < \binom{s+2}{2}$ by hypothesis (a) and $2c \le n-s$ by the choice of c, we conclude that

$$d < \operatorname{ht} I_{n-s-1}(\varphi + Z). \tag{8.7.2}$$

Consider the homomorphism of standard graded k-algebras

$$\theta : A = k[\{z_{i,j}\}] \longrightarrow B = \frac{R[\{z_{i,j}\}]}{I_{n-s-1}(\varphi+Z)}.$$

One has

$$\dim \theta(A) \le \dim B < \dim A\,,$$

where the first inequality can be seen by comparing the Hilbert functions of the two algebras, for instance, and the second inequality is a consequence of (8.7.2). It follows that θ can not be an injection.

Let f in $k[\{z_{i,j}\}]$ be a non-zero element of the kernel of θ. So,

$$f \in I_{n-s-1}(\varphi+Z). \tag{8.7.3}$$

The field k is infinite; so there is an element $(\zeta_{i,j}) \in k^{\binom{n}{2}}$ with $f(\zeta_{i,j}) \ne 0$ in k. Let φ_{d+1} be the matrix of constants that is obtained from Z by replacing $z_{i,j}$ with $\zeta_{i,j}$ for all i and j. Substitute $\zeta_{i,j}$ in for $z_{i,j}$ in (8.7.3) in order to see that

$$f(\zeta_{i,j}) \in I_{n-s-1}(\varphi+\varphi_{d+1}) \quad \text{in} \quad R.$$

Of course, $f(\zeta_{i,j})$ is a unit in k; so,

$$1 \in I_{n-s-1}(\varphi+\varphi_{d+1}) \quad \text{in} \quad R.$$

Homogenize using the variable x_{d+1} and conclude

$$x_{d+1}^{n-s-1} \in I_{n-s-1}(\varphi+x_{d+1}\varphi_{d+1}) \quad \text{in} \quad R.$$

It follows that

$$x_{d+1} \in \sqrt{I_{n-s-1}(\varphi+x_{d+1}\varphi_{d+1})};$$

and therefore,

$$\sqrt{(x_{d+1})+I_{n-s-1}(\varphi)} = \sqrt{I_{n-s-1}(\varphi+x_{d+1}\varphi_{d+1})}.$$

Since $I_{n-s-1}(\varphi) \supset I_{n-s}(\varphi)$ and the latter ideal has height at least $n-s$ in R by assumption (c), we conclude that

$$s+1 \le \operatorname{ht} \sqrt{I_{n-s-1}(\varphi+x_{d+1}\varphi_{d+1})};$$

so, (8.7.1) is established and the proof is complete. □

8.8. Proof of Theorem 8.3.a. Fix an even integer q with $d \le q \le n-1$. Apply Lemma 8.7 multiple times to obtain an $n \times n$ alternating matrix Φ_q with homogeneous linear entries from the standard graded polynomial ring $R_q = R[x_{d+1}, \dots, x_q]$ such that

(1) Φ_q specializes to φ under the R-algebra homomorphism $\theta_q : R_q \to R$ which sends x_i to 0 for all i with $d+1 \le i \le q$,

(2) $3 \le \operatorname{ht} \operatorname{Pf}_{n-1}(\Phi_q)$, and

(3) $\mathrm{Pf}_{n-1}(\Phi_q)$ satisfies the equivalent conditions of Remark 8.2 with $s = q$.

Notice that hypothesis (a) of Lemma 8.7 is automatically satisfied at each application of 8.7 because each time the values of "d" and "s" (in the language of 8.7) are equal. Now apply Lemma 8.5.b to conclude that

$$\mathrm{Pf}_{n-q+1}(\Phi_q) = (x_1, \dots, x_q)^{(n-q+1)/2}$$

in R_q. The specialization homomorphism θ_q carries the most recent equality to

$$\mathrm{Pf}_{n-q+1}(\varphi) = \mathfrak{m}^{(n-q+1)/2}$$

in R. Let t be the even integer $n-q+1$. Notice that

$$d \le q \le n-1 \iff 2 \le t \le n-d+1.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 8.3.a. □

We outlined the proof of (b) at (8.3.1). Now we fill in the details. The residual intersections that we consider all arise from an almost alternating matrix. If p and q are integers with p positive and q non-negative, then a $p \times (p+q)$ matrix ρ is called *almost alternating* if the left-most p columns of ρ form an alternating matrix. We are interested in non-square almost alternating matrices. Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring, p and q be positive integers, and ρ be a $p \times (p+q)$ almost alternating matrix with entries in R. The matrix ρ gives rise to an ideal $J(\rho)$ in R, see (8.9). It is shown in [14, 8.3 and 8.9] that $\operatorname{grade} J(\rho) \le q$ and if $\operatorname{grade} J(\rho) = q$, then $J(\rho)$ is a perfect ideal in R. (Once a candidate for a residual intersection is known to be perfect, then it is not difficult to show that the candidate really is a residual intersection; see [14, 8.3 and 8.9].) It is also shown in [14, 10.2] (see 8.10 and 8.11) that, once minor hypotheses are imposed, then every residual intersection of a grade three Gorenstein ideal is equal to $J(\rho)$ for some almost alternating matrix ρ. The precise residual intersection calculation that we use in the proof of 8.3.b is contained in Example 8.12. Lemma 8.14 is a technical result that allows us to apply the technique of Example 8.12 to any appropriately sized subset of one given minimal generating set for I.

8.9. Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring and $\rho = [X \;\; Y]$ be an almost alternating matrix with entries from R and X square. Define $J(\rho)$ to be the ideal of R generated by the Pfaffians of all principal submatrices of

$$\begin{bmatrix} X & Y \\ -Y^{\mathrm{t}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

which contain X.

Lemma 8.10 is an immediate consequence of [14, 10.2].

Lemma 8.10. *Let R be a non-negatively graded Cohen-Macaulay ring with R_0 a field, I be a height three Gorenstein ideal in R which is presented by an alternating matrix of linear forms, $\mathfrak{a}$ be a subideal of I minimally generated by q homogeneous elements for some q with $3 \le q$, and J be the ideal $\mathfrak{a} :_R I$. Assume that*

(1) *the minimal number of generators of* $I/\mathfrak{a}$ *is* p *for some positive integer* p, *and*
(2) *the ideal* J *is a* q*-residual intersection of* I (*that is,* $q \le \operatorname{grade} J$).

If either

(i) *the ring* R *is Gorenstein, or else,*
(ii) *the residual intersection* $J = \mathfrak{a} :_R I$ *is geometric,*

then $J = J(\rho')$ *for some almost alternating* $p \times (p+q)$ *matrix* ρ' *of homogeneous forms of positive degree.*

8.11. We explain how the relevant $p \times (p+q)$ almost alternating matrix ρ' arises because it is crucial that we know that the alternating portion of ρ' is a principal submatrix of some minimal homogeneous alternating presentation matrix for I. Let n be the minimal number of generators of I. One starts with an $n \times n$ matrix X of linear forms which presents I and a $n \times q$ matrix Y which expresses the generators of $\mathfrak{a}$ in terms of the generators of I in a homogeneous manner. One can arrange this data so that the matrix Y has the form

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} Y' & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-p} \end{bmatrix},$$

where the entries of Y' are homogeneous forms of positive degree and I_{n-p} is the identity matrix with $n-p$ rows and columns. The ideal J is $J(\rho)$, where ρ is the $n \times (n+q)$ almost alternating matrix $\begin{bmatrix} X & Y \end{bmatrix}$. The ideal J is also $J(\rho')$, where $\rho' = \begin{bmatrix} X' & Y' \end{bmatrix}$ is the $p \times (p+q)$ almost alternating matrix of homogeneous forms of positive degree which is obtained from ρ by deleting the last $n-p$ rows and columns of ρ.

Example 8.12. Let I be a grade three Gorenstein ideal in a ring R with I presented by the $n \times n$ alternating matrix φ for some odd integer n. Let $\underline{g} = [g_1, \dots, g_n]$ be the row vector of signed maximal order Pfaffians of φ. (In particular, I is generated by the entries of $\underline{g}$ and the matrix product $\underline{g} \cdot \varphi$ is zero.) Suppose that the ideal $\mathfrak{a} = (g_{n-t+1}, \dots, g_n)$ has the property that $\mathfrak{a} : I$ is a t-residual intersection of I. (In other words, suppose that $t \le \operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{a} : I)$.) Then

$$\begin{cases} (\{p_i \mid n-t+1 \le i \le n\}) \subseteq (\mathfrak{a} : I), & \text{if } t \text{ is even, and} \\ (p) \subseteq (\mathfrak{a} : I), & \text{if } t \text{ odd,} \end{cases}$$

where p_i is the Pfaffian of the principal submatrix of φ which involves rows and columns

$$1, \dots, n-t, i,$$

and p is the Pfaffian of the principal submatrix of φ which involves rows and columns

$$1, \dots, n-t.$$

Proof. We follow the procedure of (8.11). The ideal $\mathfrak{a} : I$ is equal to $J(\rho)$, where ρ is the $n \times (n+t)$ almost alternating matrix

$$\rho = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \varphi & \begin{array}{c} 0_{(n-t)\times t} \\ \hline I_{t \times t} \end{array} \end{array} \right].$$

It is convenient to partition φ into four submatrices:

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{1,1} & \Phi_{1,2} \\ \Phi_{2,1} & \Phi_{2,2} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\Phi_{1,1}$ is $(n-t)\times(n-t)$, $\Phi_{1,2}$ is $(n-t)\times t$, $\Phi_{2,1}$ is $t\times(n-t)$, and $\Phi_{2,2}$ is $t\times t$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{a}:I = J(\rho) = J\left(\left[\begin{array}{c|c} \varphi & \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \hline I \end{array}\end{array}\right]\right) &= J\left(\begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{1,1} & \Phi_{1,2} & 0 \\ \Phi_{2,1} & \Phi_{2,2} & I \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= J\left(\begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{1,1} & \Phi_{1,2} \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= \text{the ideal generated by the Pfaffians of the principal} \\ &\quad\ \text{submatrices of } \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{1,1} & \Phi_{1,2} \\ -\Phi_{1,2}^{\mathrm{t}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ which contain } \Phi_{1,1}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, p, which is equal to the Pfaffian of $\Phi_{1,1}$, is in $\mathfrak{a}:I$ if t is odd; and, if t is even, then p_i, which is equal to the Pfaffian of

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} & & & \varphi_{1,i} \\ & \Phi_{1,1} & & \vdots \\ & & & \varphi_{n-t+1,i} \\ \hline -\varphi_{1,i} & \dots & -\varphi_{n-t+1,i} & 0 \end{array}\right],$$

is in $\mathfrak{a}:I$, for each i with $n-t+1\le i\le n$, where $\varphi_{i,j}$ is the entry in the matrix φ in row i and column j. □

The next two results come from Basic Element Theory and are essentially known. Proposition 8.13 was mentioned in the introduction to this section. We were unable to find a suitable statement and proof in the literature. The work takes place in the proof of the proposition. Lemma 8.14 is an application of the proposition and is used in the proof of Theorem 8.3.b.

Proposition 8.13. *Let k be an infinite field, R be a non-negatively graded Noetherian ring with $R_0 = k$, and M be a graded R-module generated by homogeneous elements $m_1,\dots,m_n$ of the same degree. For fixed integers r and s, assume that*

$$\mu(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \le \max\{0, \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} + r\}$$

whenever $\mathfrak{p}\in\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ *with* $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} \le s-1$. *Then for a general k-general linear combination x of $m_1,\dots,m_n$,*

$$\mu((M/Rx)_{\mathfrak{p}}) \le \max\{0, \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} + r - 1\}$$

whenever $\mathfrak{p}\in\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ *with* $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} \le s-1$.

Proof. The assumption on the local number of generators of M translates into the inequality of heights of Fitting ideals

$$i - r + 1 \le \operatorname{Fitt}_i(M)$$

for every i with $0\le i\le s+r-1$; see [3, 20.6].

Let

$$\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{s+r-1} \operatorname{Min}(\operatorname{Fitt}_i(M)),$$

where $\operatorname{Min}(I)$ denotes the set of minimal primes of an ideal I. Notice that $\mathcal{P}$ is finite subset of the support of M. Thus, since k is an infinite field, a general k-linear combination x of $m_1, \ldots, m_n$ has the property that $\frac{x}{1}$ is a minimal generator of $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}$. In particular,

$$\mu((M/Rx)_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq \max\{0, \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} + r - 1\},$$

whenever $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq s - 1$.

Now let $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}(M) \setminus \mathcal{P}$ with $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq s - 1$. In this case $\mu(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = i + 1$ for some i with $0 \leq i \leq s + r - 1$, hence $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\operatorname{Fitt}_i(M))$. Since $\mathfrak{p} \notin \operatorname{Min}(\operatorname{Fitt}_i(M))$, there exists $\mathfrak{q} \in V(\operatorname{Fitt}_i(M))$ with $\mathfrak{q} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}$. Notice that $\mu(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) = i + 1 = \mu(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Thus

$$\mu((M/Rx)_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq \mu(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \mu(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) \leq \max\{0, \dim R_{\mathfrak{q}} + r\} \leq \max\{0, \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} - 1 + r\}.$$

□

In the next result we apply Proposition 8.13 to show that there exists a minimal generating set of an ideal I such that any appropriate subset of this minimal generating set gives rise to a residual intersection.

Lemma 8.14. *Let k be an infinite field, R be a non-negatively graded Noetherian ring with $R_0 = k$, I be a homogeneous ideal of R generated in one degree, and s be a non-negative integer. Assume that $\mu(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} + 1$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)$ with $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq s - 1$. Then there exists a minimal homogeneous generating set $\underline{f} = f_1, \ldots, f_n$ for I so that for each integer t with $\operatorname{ht} I \leq t \leq s$ and each t-element subset $f_{\nu_1}, \ldots, f_{\nu_t}$ of $\underline{f}$, the ideal $(f_{\nu_1}, \ldots, f_{\nu_t}) : I$ is a t-residual intersection of I; in other words, $t \leq \operatorname{ht}((f_{\nu_1}, \ldots, f_{\nu_t}) : I)$.*

Proof. We apply Proposition 8.13 with $r = 1$ and M various factor modules of I. We construct $f_1, \ldots, f_i$ by induction on i for $1 \leq i \leq n$. The element f_1 is a general element x that satisfies the assertion of Proposition 8.13 for $M = I$ and is a minimal generator. If $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, we choose f_{i+1} to be a general element x that satisfies the assertion of Proposition 8.13 for each of the finitely many modules $M = I/(f_{\nu_1}, \ldots, f_{\nu_j})$, where $0 \leq j \leq t - 1$ and $\{f_{\nu_1}, \ldots, f_{\nu_j}\} \subset \{f_1, \ldots, f_i\}$; we also require that f_{i+1} is a minimal generator of $I/(f_1, \ldots, f_i)$. Now Proposition 8.13 shows that for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ with $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq t - 1$,

$$\mu\big(\big(I/(f_{\nu_1}, \ldots, f_{\nu_t})\big)_{\mathfrak{p}}\big) \leq \max\{0, \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} + 1 - t\} = 0.$$

Thus $I_{\mathfrak{p}} = (f_{\nu_1}, \ldots, f_{\nu_t})_{\mathfrak{p}}$ whenever $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq t - 1$, which proves the assertion. □

8.15. Proof of Theorem 8.3.b. As stated in (8.3.1), it suffices to prove

$$\operatorname{Pf}_{2\lceil\frac{n-d}{2}\rceil}(\varphi) \subseteq \sum J \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{\lceil\frac{n-d}{2}\rceil},$$

where the sum is taken over all homogeneous d-residual intersections of I. The inclusion on the right is a consequence of Lemma 8.10 because each residual intersection J under consideration is generated by Pfaffians of various principal submatrices of a homogeneous alternating matrix with entries of positive degree. The submatrices that are used have even size and that size is at least

$$2\left\lceil\frac{n-d}{2}\right\rceil.$$

The inclusion on the left follows from Lemma 8.14 and Example 8.12. Indeed, Lemma 8.14 produces a generating set $f_1,\dots,f_n$ for I with the property that $(f_{\nu_1},\dots,f_{\nu_d}):I$ is a residual intersection of I for all choices of $\nu_1<\dots<\nu_d$ with $1\le\nu_1$ and $\nu_d\le n$. Replace φ with the $n\times n$ alternating matrix $\widetilde{\varphi}$ whose row vector of signed maximal order Pfaffians is equal to (a unit times) $[f_1,\dots,f_n]$. (We explained this language in Example 8.12.) Of course, $\operatorname{Pf}_{2\lceil\frac{n-d}{2}\rceil}(\widetilde{\varphi})=\operatorname{Pf}_{2\lceil\frac{n-d}{2}\rceil}(\varphi)$. Apply Example 8.12 many times to see that the Pfaffian of each $(2\lceil\frac{n-d}{2}\rceil)\times(2\lceil\frac{n-d}{2}\rceil)$ principal submatrix of $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is in some d-residual intersection of I. □

Remark 8.16. If $d=3$, then Theorem 8.3.b says that the sum of all homogeneous links of I is equal to $\mathfrak{m}^{\frac{n-3}{2}}$; indeed, the links of the ideal I are precisely its 3-residual intersections.

REFERENCES

[1] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **39**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.

[2] M. Chardin, *Powers of ideals and the cohomology of stalks and fibers of morphisms*, preprint 2012, on the arXiv.

[3] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra, with a view toward algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **150** Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[4] D. Eisenbud, C. Huneke, and B. Ulrich, *The regularity of Tor and graded Betti numbers*, Amer. J. Math. **128** (2006), 573–605.

[5] H. Flenner, *Die Sätze von Bertini für lokale Ringe*, Math. Ann. **229** (1977), 97–111.

[6] D. R. Grayson, M. E. Stillman, *Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry*, Available at http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/.

[7] L. Gruson, R. Lazarsfeld, and C. Peskine, *On a theorem of Castelnuovo, and the equations defining space curves*, Invent. Math. **72** (1983), 491–506.

[8] J. Herzog, A. Simis, and W. Vasconcelos, *Koszul homology and blowing-up rings*, Commutative algebra (Trento, 1981), pp. 79–169, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., **84**, Dekker, New York, 1983.

[9] J. Herzog, A. Simis, and W. Vasconcelos, *Approximation complexes of blowing-up rings. II*, J. Algebra **82** (1983), 53–83.

[10] J. Herzog, A. Simis, and W. Vasconcelos, *On the arithmetic and homology of algebras of linear type*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **283** (1984), 661–683.

[11] L. T. Hoa and T. N. Trung *Partial Castelnuovo-Mumford regularities of sums and intersections of powers of monomial ideals*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **149** (2010), 229–246.

[12] M. Hochster and C. Huneke, *Comparison of symbolic and ordinary powers of ideals*, Invent. Math. 147 (2002), 349–369.

[13] A. Kustin, C. Polini, and B. Ulrich, *The equations defining blowup algebras of height three Gorenstein ideals*, preprint 2015, on the arXiv.

[14] A. Kustin and B. Ulrich, *A family of complexes associated to an almost alternating map, with applications to residual intersections*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** (1992), no. 461.

[15] K. Nishida and B. Ulrich, *Computing j-multiplicities*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **214** (2010), 2101–2110.

[16] W. Niu *Some results on asymptotic regularity of ideal sheaves*, preprint 2011, on the arXiv.

[17] C. Polini and B. Ulrich, *Linkage and reduction numbers*, Math. Ann. **310** (1998), 631–651.

[18] T. Room, *The geometry of determinantal loci*, Cambridge University Press, 1938.

[19] H.-J. Wang, *Links of symbolic powers of prime ideals*, Math. Z. **256** (2007), 749–756.

[20] Y. Xie, *Formulas for the multiplicity of graded algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), 4085–4106.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, COLUMBIA, SC 29208
E-mail address: `kustin@math.sc.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME NOTRE DAME, IN 46556
E-mail address: `cpolini@nd.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47907
E-mail address: `ulrich@math.purdue.edu`